

Computing the Prime Counting Function with Linnik's Identity
in $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ Time and $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ln n)$ Space,
and Related Combinatorial Number Theory Work

Nathan McKenzie
nathan@icecreambreakfast.com
March 24, 2011

1. Overview

This paper will describe an algorithm for counting primes in roughly $n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n$ time and $n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ln n$ space, starting from Linnik's identity. It will then describe a number of other number theoretic results springing from the same combinatorial area as Linnik's identity, including connections to $\text{Li}(n)$, a connection between the Möbius function and the prime power function, an inversion of Linnik's identity to get strict number of divisors in terms of a family of prime power functions, an inversion function for the prime power function analogous to the role played by the Möbius function to the divisor function, a generalization of the underlying patterns beneath all of these connections, and many other results besides.

The identity of Linnik[1] which we will be interested in states, for our purposes,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\log_2(n)} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} d_k'(n) = \frac{1}{a} \text{ if } n = p^a, 0 \text{ otherwise} \quad (1.1)$$

where p is a prime number and d' is the strict number of divisor function such that

$$d_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} d_{k-1}'(j)$$

$$d_1'(n) = 1$$

The strict number of divisor function is connected to the standard number of divisor function by

$$d_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^k -1^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} d_j(n) \quad (1.2)$$

where d_k is the standard number of divisor function such that

$$d_k(n) = \sum_{j|n} d_{k-1}(j)$$

and

$$d_1(n) = 1$$

The approach for prime counting here is very closely related to the method for calculating Mertens function described by Deléglise and Rivat in [2].

Table of Contents

Part 1: Computing the Prime Counting Function

2. The Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions and Counting Primes	4
3. Properties of the Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions	5
4. The Core Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Function Identity	7
5. Calculating $D_k'(n)$ Up to $n^{\frac{2}{3}}$	10
6. Conclusion for This Algorithm	11
7. Intermezzo	12

Part 2: Combinatorial Number Theory Related to Linnik's Identity

8. The Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions and $\text{Li}(x)$	15
9. More Properties of the Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions	17
10. The Strict Möbius Function Summatory Functions	23
11. The Strict Number of Prime Powers Summatory Functions	31
12. The Strict Inverse of Prime Powers Summatory Functions	43
13. Generalizing the Transformation, and Some Promising Coefficients	49
14. Other Root Functions	57
15. Discontinuities as Fractional Parts	61
16. A Few Identities for Chebyshev's Second Function, $\psi(n)$	72
17. Further Questions	74
18. References	75
Appendix 1: Collection of Expressions for Mertens Function and $\Pi(n)$	78
Appendix 2: Expressions as the Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions	84
Appendix 3: Core $D_k'(n)$ Identity Derivations	87
Appendix 4: Other Derivations	95
Appendix 5: C Source Code for the Algorithm	97
Appendix 6: Index of Symbols	105

Special thanks to Roxanne Prichard and Mark Coffey

Dedicated, as always, to Annette

Part 1:

Computing the
Prime Counting Function

2. The Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions and Counting Primes

We begin by defining the following strict number of divisors summatory function for the function $d_k'(n)$ from (1.2):

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{k=2}^n d_k'(n) \quad (2.1)$$

Summing Linnik's identity, (1.1), from 2 to n then gives the following identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\log_2(n)} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n) = \Pi(n) \quad (2.2)$$

where the right hand side is the prime power counting function.

If we rely on standard techniques, we can invert the prime power counting function like so

$$\pi(n) = \sum_{j=1} \frac{1}{j} \mu(j) \Pi\left(n^{\frac{1}{j}}\right) \quad (2.3)$$

where $\pi(n)$ is the prime counting function and $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function, and we finally arrive at our goal, which is the number of primes in terms of the strict number of divisors summatory functions.

$$\pi(n) = \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{jk} \mu(j) D_k'\left(n^{\frac{1}{j}}\right) \quad (2.4)$$

3. Properties of the Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions

So, our goal, from this perspective, is finding the fastest methods possible for calculating the strict number of divisors summatory functions from (2.1).

Some core properties used to that end will include the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_k'(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n D_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 D_1'(n) &= \lfloor n \rfloor - 1 \\
 D_0'(n) &= 1 \\
 D_k'(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n d_a'(j) D_{k-a}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

These identities and Linnik's identity above are, incidentally, enough to derive, with a bit of work, the following tidy recursive formula for the prime power counting function, which won't be used for this prime counting algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_k(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{k} - v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 \Pi(n) &= v_1(n)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.2}$$

The derivation for this can be found in Appendix 4. Going down another path, by adding a second parameter, we can build another useful identity for the the sum of strict divisor functions

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_k'(n, a) &= \sum_{m=a}^{\frac{1}{n^k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} D_j'\left(\frac{n}{m^{k-j}}, m+1\right) \\
 D_1'(n, a) &= \lfloor n \rfloor - a + 1 \quad D_0'(n, a) = 1
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

where the sum of strict divisor functions we're interested in is

$$D_k'(n) = D_k'(n, 2)$$

and the normal sum of counts of divisors function is

$$\sum_{j=1} d_k(n) = D_k'(n, 1)$$

Although this paper will not rely on these identities, they also serve as an interesting basis for counting primes – especially with a suitably large wheel, they can perform surprisingly well for a prime counting method that uses nearly constant amounts of memory. Finding other ways of speeding up their computation is an interesting challenge. A general heuristic derivation for this will also be found in Appendix 4.

4. The Core Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Function Identity

The core combinatorial identity we will use to count the strict divisor sums (the derivation for this can be found in Appendix 3) is the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_k'(n) = & \\
 & \sum_{j=a+1}^n D_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=2}^a d_{k-1}'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=2}^a \sum_{s=\frac{a}{j}+1}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} d_m'(j) D_{k-m-1}'\left(\frac{n}{js}\right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where a is some number for which $2 \leq a \leq n$. The useful aspect of this identity is that it only relies on values of d' up to a , and values of D' up to $\frac{n}{a}$. Thus, if we make use of our prime counting function identity from (2.2)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\log_2(n)} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n) = \Pi(n)$$

and keep in mind that

$$D_1'(n) = [n] - 1$$

then, as a first pass, our identity for counting the prime power function is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi(n) = & \\
 & n - 1 + \sum_{j=a+1}^n -\frac{1}{2} D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{3} D_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{4} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \dots \\
 & + \sum_{j=2}^a D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2} d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3} d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4} d_3'(j) + \dots\right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=2}^a \sum_{k=\frac{a}{j}+1}^{\frac{n}{j}} \left(\frac{1}{3} d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{4} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_3'(j) - \dots\right) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{jk}\right) \\
 & + \left(-\frac{1}{4} d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{6} d_3'(j) + \dots\right) D_2'\left(\frac{n}{jk}\right) \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{5} d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{6} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{7} d_3'(j) - \dots\right) D_3'\left(\frac{n}{jk}\right) + \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

There are two subsequent steps required for turning this equation into its final form for our purposes.

First, for any sum of the form

$$\sum_{j=2}^n f(\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor)$$

it should be clear that there are only $2n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ terms we are concerned with, and so any such identity can be split into

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}} f(\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor) + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}-1} (\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{j+1} \rfloor) f(j) \quad (4.3)$$

The second step is choosing a suitable value for a . For this paper, we will choose $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ as our value for a , which means that we will need to calculate $d'(n)$ up to $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $D'(n)$ up to $n^{\frac{2}{3}}$, a task that will be covered in the next section. And so our final identity for the prime power counting function is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(n) &= n - 1 \\ &+ \sum_{j=\lfloor \frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}} \rfloor + 1}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}}} -\frac{1}{2} D_1'(\frac{n}{j}) + \frac{1}{3} D_2'(\frac{n}{j}) - \frac{1}{4} D_3'(\frac{n}{j}) + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor - 1}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}}} (\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{j+1} \rfloor) (-\frac{1}{2} D_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3} D_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4} D_3'(j) + \dots) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}} D_1'(\frac{n}{j}) (-\frac{1}{2} d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3} d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4} d_3'(j) + \dots) \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}} \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{j} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor^{\frac{1}{2}}}} (\frac{1}{3} d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{4} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_3'(j) + \dots) D_1'(\frac{n}{jk}) \\ &\quad + (-\frac{1}{4} d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{6} d_3'(j) + \dots) D_2'(\frac{n}{jk}) \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{5} d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{6} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{7} d_3'(j) - \dots) D_3'(\frac{n}{jk}) + \dots \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1} (\lfloor \frac{n}{jk} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{j(k+1)} \rfloor) ((\frac{1}{3} d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{4} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_3'(j) + \dots) D_1'(k) \\ &\quad + (-\frac{1}{4} d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{6} d_3'(j) + \dots) D_2'(k) \\ &\quad + (\frac{1}{5} d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{6} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{7} d_3'(j) - \dots) D_3'(k) + \dots) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Obviously calculating $d'(n)$ up to $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ can be done relatively quickly. Thus, if you can calculate $D'(n)$ up to $n^{\frac{2}{3}}$ in roughly $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}})$ time, the above equation can be computed in something like $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ steps because of the two double sums.

It's worth remembering for all of these calculations that if $n < 2^k$, then $D_k'(n) = 0$ and $d_k'(n) = 0$, so the actual number of D' terms that need to be evaluated inside the double sums is on the order of $\ln n$.

5. Calculating $D_k'(n)$ Up to $n^{\frac{2}{3}}$

To compute $D_k'(n)$ up to $n^{\frac{2}{3}}$ in roughly $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ time and $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ln n)$ space, we will turn to sieving. First, we will need to compute all of the primes up to $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$, which are the largest primes needed to sieve a block of numbers no greater than $n^{\frac{2}{3}}$. We will then sieve in blocks of size $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$, thus establishing our memory boundary, and this process will be repeated $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ times. We will be sieving in such a way that we have the full prime factorization of all entries in each block, so each entry should be in this form:

$$n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$$

We will specifically need the full power signature of each entry.

Because the normal number of divisors function can be calculated from the above power signature by

$$d_k(n) = \binom{a_1 + k - 1}{a_1} \cdot \binom{a_2 + k - 1}{a_2} \cdot \binom{a_3 + k - 1}{a_3} \cdot \dots \quad (5.1)$$

and the strict number of divisors function can in turn be calculated from the number of divisors function by

$$d_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^k -1^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} d_j(n) \quad (5.2)$$

we can use our sieve information to calculate the strict number of divisor function for each entry in the sieve. We can then use the straightforward fact that

$$D_k'(n) = D_k'(n-1) + d_k'(n)$$

to give us all the values for $D_k'(n)$ in each block. We will have to store off the final values of the $D_k'(n)$ functions at the end of each block to use as the starting values for the next blocks.

As mentioned, this process runs in something like $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ time.

6. Conclusion for this Algorithm

The trick to implementing this algorithm, then, is to interleave the sieving described in section 5 with a gradual computation of the sums from (4.4). Essentially, the sums from (4.4) need to be evaluated in order from smallest terms of $D_k'(n)$ to greatest, more or less as a queue. What this means in practice is that for the first sum,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{j=\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{3}} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor} -\frac{1}{2} D_1' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) + \frac{1}{3} D_2' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) - \frac{1}{4} D_3' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) + \dots \\
 & + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{2}} \rfloor} \left(\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{j+1} \rfloor \right) \left(-\frac{1}{2} D_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3} D_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4} D_3'(j) + \dots \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

the second sum will be evaluated first (again, interleaved with the sieving of blocks of $D_k'(n)$ of size $n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ in size), and, once that is finished, the first sum will be evaluated with j starting with the value of $n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and then decreasing until it is $\lfloor n^{\frac{1}{3}} \rfloor + 1$, all interleaved with the sieving. In the C code, you can see this process manually worked through in the function `calcS1()`.

A similar process is necessary for the double sums. You can see this process worked through in the function `calcS3()`.

This algorithm can be sped up considerably by using a wheel, which decreases the amount of operations involved in sieving, the double sums calculated in (4.4), and potentially a constant factor in the memory usage as well. C source code for the algorithm is present in Appendix 5, but it doesn't implement a wheel and so will not run anywhere near as fast as it could.

If anything is too unclear in this description, hopefully browsing the source code in Appendix 5 will make it more clear. Alternatively, the paper in [2] covers many of the same ideas and might be a useful reference for another description of an extremely similar process.

7. Intermezzo

The appealing thing about quantitative performance numbers is that it's very easy to tell whether an algorithm or process is probably interesting to the broader social world. The algorithm described here runs in roughly $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ time and $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ln n)$ space, which puts it in spitting distance of the Lagarias-Miller-Odlyzko combinatorial method. Given the shallowness of my own number theory knowledge (in terms of the broader existing field of research), too, the possibilities for follow-on work and improvement to this algorithm, or to similar Linnik's identity-based approaches, is not so bad.

So there is much reassuring about tasks with quantitative performance measurement. In what follows for the rest of this paper, I do not have that safety net. In the course of turning the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions around in my head, trying my hardest to find ways to speed up their computation or decompose them in interesting ways, I have, not surprisingly, unearthed all sorts of other, related, combinatorial identities that seemed intriguing but that I wasn't able to find a use for in this algorithm. The rest of this paper will document those identities and ideas.

I apologize in advance if I'm cataloging well-known research. I've tried to do my due diligence, becoming passingly familiar with several books on analytic number theory, but I'll freely concede my knowledge on that front is still fairly thin. Alternatively, it's also quite possible that much of this is either obvious or trivial.

It is probably more useful to view the rest of this paper more as a travelogue and repository of ideas than a rigorous work of mathematics. The contents tend to be self-reinforcing, internally consistent, occasionally elegant, and backed by substantial empirical tests. General processes of derivation are frequently gestured at. Nevertheless, this is not, and does not attempt to be, a work of lock-step rigor.

The rest of the results in this paper can be broadly broken up into four categories.

First, based on Linnik's identity and a related identity for the connection between strict divisors and the Möbius Function, I generate a number of combinatorial identities for expressing the prime power function in terms of the Möbius Function, the Mertens Function in terms of the prime power counting function $\Pi(n)$, the strict number of divisors summatory functions in terms of strict number of prime powers summatory functions, explore an inverse function for the prime power function, and many, many other similar and related identities besides. This work will be done in sections 9-12. Some of those results will be collated in Appendix 1, which will collect combinatorial identities for $\Pi(n)$ and the Mertens Function.

Second, I will show that a surprisingly broad collection of different, relatively interesting number theoretic expressions can be given as sums of the $D_k'(n)$ family by simply changing the coefficients of the $D_k'(n)$ functions. This in turn means that, if the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions has been calculated, quite a large number of other values can be calculated exactly, generally in $O(\ln n)$ time. Additionally, because the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions tend to be much more straightforward to approximate than the other functions we will be looking at, this opens up a route for approximating those functions. Discussions about approximation will show up in section 8; derivations for the various identities in terms of $D_k'(n)$ will be sprinkled throughout sections 9-12. They will be collected, finally, in Appendix 2.

Third, the process of collecting and describing these identities are purely combinatorial aspects of their relationships to each other; which is to say, they generally (with noted exceptions) do not rely on the fact that $d_1'(n)$ happens to equal 1. They are combinatorial properties based on the relationship between the functions. Consequently, most of the identities I derive above will also apply if we change the function $d_1'(n)$ at the root to equal some other value, like, say, $d_1'(n)=n$. This will let us apply these various identities to a wider range of functions, and provides a way to think about several other sequences of prime powers in terms of divisor problems. The patterns at the core of all of this will be generalized in section 13, and several interesting applications will be covered in section 14.

Fourth, in section 15, this paper will introduce a method for splitting $\Pi(n)$ into two separate functions, one of which will contain the discontinuous aspects of the function as a property of the fractional function $\{n\}$. Similar techniques will be shown for Mertens function.

Part 2:

Combinatorial Number Theory
Related to Linnik's Identity

8. The Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions and $Li(x)$

One of the more useful aspects of expressing number theoretic functions as linear combinations of D' functions (of which we will do many more shortly) is that the family of D' functions lends itself relatively nicely to approximation, particularly when compared to many other number theoretic functions.

So, for example, for $D_2'(n)$, we can calculate the volume of the two variable hyperbola that bounds its integer lattice points like so:

$$D_2'(n) \approx \int_1^n \frac{n}{x} - 1 dx = n \ln n - n + 1$$

And similarly for $D_3'(n)$,

$$D_3'(n) \approx \int_1^n \int_1^n \frac{n}{xy} - 1 dx dy = \frac{1}{2} n (\ln n)^2 - n \ln n + n - 1$$

And for $D_4'(n)$,

$$D_4'(n) \approx \int_1^n \int_1^n \int_1^n \frac{n}{xyz} - 1 dx dy dz = \frac{1}{6} n (\ln n)^3 - \frac{1}{2} n (\ln n)^2 + n \ln n - n + 1$$

In the general case, we can say

$$D_k'(n) \approx -1^{k-1}(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{-1^{j+k-1}}{j!} n (\ln n)^j \quad (8.1)$$

Based on Linnik's Identity above, this series of approximations for the D' family of functions gives us a way to approximate the prime power counting function

$$\Pi(n) \approx \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k-1}}{k} (-1^{k-1}(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{-1^{j+k-1}}{j!} n (\ln n)^j)$$

At least empirically, this is exactly and precisely equal to

$$Li(n) - \gamma - \ln \ln n = \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k-1}}{k} (-1^{k-1}(n-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{-1^{j+k-1}}{j!} n (\ln n)^j)$$

where $Li(n)$ is the Log Integral. Given the Prime Number Theory, this shouldn't be entirely unexpected.

One consequence of this, based on the equations above, is that it provides an exact expression for the error in the PNT in terms of the error term of various sums of number of divisor problems. Thinking geometrically, the difference between the Log Integral and the actual number of prime powers is exactly equal to the volumes of these various hyperbolas that aren't contained within a lattice point, with the series of volumes combined with signs alternating and harmonically.

This obviously opens the door for tools from the study of divisor problems to be applied to the error term in the PNT (with the usual caveats about going from regular number of divisors to strict number of divisors and back).

In particular, there is one method of approximation that I have not explored but that I find particularly intriguing.

In Ivic [3], on page 352, there is a discussion about the Dirichlet divisor problem, and about the various divisor sums being expressed as polynomials composed of $\ln n$ raised to various powers combined with coefficients constructed from Stieltjes Constants, which is the base approximation for the number of divisor sums from which to estimate the error term. This, in turn, points back at a paper by A. F. Lavrik, "On the Principal Term in the Divisor Problem and the Power Series of the Riemann Zeta-Function in a Neighborhood of Its Pole".

And so here is where I hit my dead end on this particular approach. We know from Ivic and Lavrik that the sums of divisors principle term can be expressed as a certain polynomial, as mentioned in the referenced works.

We also know from basic combinatorics that

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{a=0}^k -1^{k-a} \binom{k}{a} \sum_{j=2}^n d_a(j)$$

And, finally, we know from Linnik's Identity that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n) = \Pi(n)$$

Thus, it stands to reason that we ought to be able to approximate the prime power counting function with these polynomials composed of $\ln n$ to various powers and Stieltjes Constants coefficients. Does this simply collapse back into $\text{Li}(n)$? Does it have a better or different error term?

Unfortunately, constructing these polynomials outstrips my own paltry analytic number theory ability. Nevertheless, it seems like an interesting place to look as an approximation of the prime power counting function (and, indeed, for all of the other number theoretic functions cataloged in this paper that can be expressed exactly in terms of the $D_k'(n)$ functions).

9. More Properties of the Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions

9-A: Overview

This section will cover a few topics related to the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions. First, it will explore a few more combinatorial properties of them, stressing how they differ from their non-strict equivalents. Next, it will show that not only can $\Pi(n)$ be expressed exactly as a linear combination of $D_k'(n)$ values, but various sums of $\Pi(n)$ can be as well. Finally, we will invert these expressions so that we can express $D_k'(n)$ exactly as various sums of $\Pi(n)$, and we will end with another expression for $\Pi(n)$ in terms of itself.

9-B: Mertens Function in terms of D'

We know, from a process similar to the derivation of Linnik's identity [2], that the following useful equation is true

$$\mu(n) = \sum_{k=0} -1^k d_k'(n)$$

And so, obviously,

$$M(n) - 1 = \sum_{k=1} -1^k D_k'(n)$$

where $M(n)$ is Mertens function. As a result, we could use the same process described above to calculate Mertens function in roughly $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ time and $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ln n)$ space, although the algorithm's constant time performance would be considerably worse because of the inability to use a wheel.

9-C: Möbius Inversion

From here on out, we're going to be using a strict version of the Möbius function, which will be identical to the regular Möbius function except that it will return a value of 0 for 1, and we will name this function $m_1'(n)$. So, pretty obviously,

$$m_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k d_k'(n) \quad (9.1)$$

One striking difference between the strict number of divisor functions and the standard one is the effect that Möbius inversion has. We might begin by trying, in a close analogue to normal inversion,

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

but that equation does not produce $D_{k-1}'(n)$ as expected. But we're not done; because of (9.1), we can rewrite this as

$$\sum_{j=2}^n (-d_1'(j) + d_2'(j) - d_3'(j) + \dots) D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

Now, one of the basic identities of these functions is

$$D_{k+a}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n d_a'(j) D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (9.2)$$

and so, taking advantage of that identity, we're left with the following

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^n -1^a D_{k+a}'(n) \quad (9.3)$$

which, perhaps amusingly, is almost a perverse opposite to the standard Möbius inversion.

If we try adding together two subsequent values for k on the left side of (9.3), we find that the expression on the right hand side almost entirely cancels out, and (with a final flip of minus signs), we are left with

$$\sum_{j=2}^n -m_1'(j) (D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + D_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) = D_k'(n) \quad (9.4)$$

Alternatively, if we work with $\mu(n)$, and we're sensitive to the fact that $\mu(n)$ is the non-strict version of $m_1'(n)$ and so counting needs to start at 1 rather than 2, we will find that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(j) D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{a=0}^n -1^a D_{k+a}'(n)$$

and so

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu(j) (D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + D_{k+1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) = D_k'(n)$$

9-D: Sums of $\Pi(n)$ in terms of D'

There are some other interesting expressions we can build with $D_k'(n)$. We remember from (2.2) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n) = \Pi(n)$$

If we sum that expression up for values ranging from $\frac{n}{2}$ to $\frac{n}{n}$, we obviously have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(\frac{n}{j}) = \sum_{j=2}^n \Pi(\frac{n}{j})$$

We can again use (9.2) to collect terms on the left hand side of the equation, and we're left with

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_{k+1}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \Pi(\frac{n}{j})$$

(9.5)

If we repeat the process again, we find we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_{k+2}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n d_2'(j) \Pi(\frac{n}{j})$$

and, more generally,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_{k+a}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n d_a'(j) \Pi(\frac{n}{j})$$

(9.6)

As before, this means that if we have calculated the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions, we can compute the values of any of these expressions on the right hand side of the equations in $O(\ln n)$ time. It also provides a means, by using (8.1), for us to approximate any of these expressions.

9-E: D' in Terms of Sums of $\Pi(n)$

We can use the formula from (9.6) to establish, combinatorially, some more interesting identities connected to $D_k'(n)$ and $\Pi(n)$. If we look at the first three instances of this function,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(n) &= D_1'(n) - \frac{1}{2}D_2'(n) + \frac{1}{3}D_3'(n) - \dots \\ \sum_{j=2}^n \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) &= D_2'(n) - \frac{1}{2}D_3'(n) + \frac{1}{3}D_4'(n) - \dots \\ \sum_{j=2}^n d_2'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) &= D_3'(n) - \frac{1}{2}D_4'(n) + \frac{1}{3}D_5'(n) - \dots\end{aligned}$$

we can observe the useful feature that each successive identity uses fewer and fewer $D_k'(n)$ values. We can use this fact to eliminate $D_k'(n)$ terms from these functions, and in the process invert them. So, for example, by adding one half the second equation to the first equation, we have

$$\Pi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = D_1'(n) + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2}\right) D_3'(n) - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3}\right) D_4'(n) + \dots$$

thus removing D_2' from the right hand side of the equation. If we continue this process, we can isolate the $D_k'(n)$ functions.

Repeating this process, we will find that a sequence of coefficients emerges on the left hand side of the equation that can be generated with the following expression

$$C_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{-1}{k-j+1} C_j, \text{ where } C_0 = -1 \quad (9.7)$$

with its first few values being $-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{24}, \frac{19}{720}, \frac{3}{160}, \dots$. These numbers are the Gregory coefficients, which are in many ways similar to the Bernoulli numbers. And so, with these coefficients, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D_1'(n) &= n-1 = \Pi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{j=2}^n d_2'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{24} \dots \\ D_2'(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n d_2'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{j=2}^n d_3'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{24} \dots\end{aligned} \quad (9.8)$$

and, more generally,

$$D_a'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -C_0 d_{a-1}'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + C_1 \sum_{j=2}^n d_a'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - C_2 \sum_{j=2}^n d_{a+1}'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + C_3 \dots \quad (9.9)$$

It should be fairly straightforward, from inspection, that we can rewrite this as

$$D_a'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n (-C_0 d_{a-1}(j) + C_1 d_a'(j) - C_2 d_{a+1}'(j) + \dots) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (9.9a)$$

and

$$n-1 = \Pi(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n (C_1 d_1'(j) - C_2 d_2'(j) + C_3 d_3'(j) - \dots) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (9.9b)$$

The expression in (9.8) can be rewritten as the rather tidy

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(n) &= -C_k \Pi(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ v_0(n) &= n-1 \end{aligned} \quad (9.10)$$

This identity can also be written as

$$n-1 = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -1^{k+1} C_k D_k' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) \right) \quad (9.10a)$$

(keeping in mind that $D_0'(n)=1$) and

$$n-1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j+1}\right) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -1^{k+1} C_k D_k'(j) \right) \quad (9.10b)$$

both of which suggest that the sum

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -1^{k+1} C_k D_k'(n) \right) \quad (9.10c)$$

might be worthy of study, particularly in terms of how well it can be approximated. At least from observation, it lacks the sorts of sharp discontinuities that $D_k'(n)$ and $\Pi(n)$ have.

Working through all the arithmetic from (9.9b), this also means that we can say that

$$n-1 = \Pi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \frac{5}{12} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) + \frac{3}{8} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + \dots$$

(9.10d)

9-F: Another Expression for $\Pi(n)$

As a final observation from this line of reasoning, let's take another look at (9.8). As always, $D_1'(n)=n-1$. Consequently, if we're willing to do a little bit of term re-arrangement, we can turn (9.8) into the following identity for $\Pi(n)$

$$\Pi(n)=n-1-\left(\sum_{a=2}^n \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right)-\left(\sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{1}{12} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right)-\left(\sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{1}{24} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right)-\left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} \frac{19}{720} \dots\right)\right)\right)\right)$$
(9.11)

9-G: Another Expression for $D_k(n)$

Though the derivation for this won't be given here, $D_1(n)$ can also be expressed as

$$D_1'(n)=\sum_{j=2}^n \left(\sum_{a=1}^j \frac{d_a'(j)}{a!}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{b=0}^j \frac{B_b}{b!} D_b'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)\right)$$
(9.12)

where $B_k(n)$ are the Bernoulli numbers with $B_1(n)=-\frac{1}{2}$. This is a special case of the more general formula

$$D_k'(n)=\sum_{j=2}^n \left(\sum_{a=1}^j \frac{d_a'(j)}{a!}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{b=0}^j \frac{B_b}{b!} D_{b+k}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)\right)$$
(9.13)

which can be generalized even further as

$$D_k'(n)=\sum_{j=2}^n \left(\sum_{a=1}^j \frac{d_{a+c}'(j)}{a!}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{b=0}^j \frac{B_b}{b!} D_{b+k-c}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)\right)$$
(9.14)

where $0 \leq c \leq k$.

There's actually far more we can do with the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions, but we're going to define some other new functions first.

10. The Strict Möbius Function Summatory Functions

10-A: Overview

We're going to work in this section with a strict version of the Möbius function, which is to say, a Möbius function that yields 0 for the input of 1. We're also going to mirror the strict number of divisors functions in terms of structure, building Möbius equivalents of functions like $d_2'(n)$ and $D_3'(n)$. Having established this family of functions, we're going to show how to express all of them exactly in terms of the strict number of divisors summatory functions. Having done that, we will then have what we need to invert the process, expressing the strict number of divisor functions precisely in terms of these new strict Möbius summatory functions. This, in turn, will allow us to build a version of Linnik's identity for the strict Möbius function, and so we can express the prime power function in terms of the Möbius function and $\Pi(n)$ in terms of the Mertens function. Finally, we will use our new strict Möbius functions to build new kinds of sums of $\Pi(n)$ and show how they can be expressed exactly as linear sums of our $D_k'(n)$ functions.

10-B: Core Identities

We have

$$m_1'(n) = \mu(n) \text{ if } n > 1$$

(10.1)

$$m_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} m_1'(j) m_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(10.2)

We will then sum these identities to work with the following family of functions

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_k'(j)$$

(10.3)

This function obeys the property

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{a=2} -M_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \sum_{b=2} M_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \sum_{c=2} -M_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \dots$$

which can be rewritten as

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -M_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - M_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(10.3a)

with

$$M_0'(n) = 1$$

This can essentially be restated as

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) M_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

and more generally

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_a'(j) M_{k-a}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(10.4)

10-C: M' in terms of D'

We know from (9.1) that we can express the strict Möbius function as

$$m_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k d_k'(n)$$

and thus

$$M_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k D_k'(n)$$

Relying on (9.1), we can sum up versions of the left side and right side of this equation like so

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) M_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k \sum_{j=2}^n (-d_1'(j) + d_2'(j) - d_3'(j) + \dots) D_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

We can rely on (10.4) above for the left side of this equation, and (9.2) for the right side, and we're eventually left with

$$M_2'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n -1^j j D_{2+j}'(n)$$

This process can be repeated as desired, giving us the more general formula

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} -1^{k+j} \binom{k+j-1}{k-1} D_{k+j}'(n) \quad (10.5)$$

Given that the Möbius function and number of strict divisors can be expressed as

$$m_k'(n) = M_k'(n) - M_k'(n-1)$$

and

$$d_k'(n) = D_k'(n) - D_k'(n-1)$$

this also means that we can express the relationship between them as

$$m_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} -1^{k+j} \binom{k+j-1}{k-1} d_{k+j}'(n) \quad (10.6)$$

And so, once again, based on (10.5) we find yet more reasonably interesting number theoretic quantities that we can calculate in $O(\ln n)$ time if we have already calculated the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions. This also provides a means of approximating these functions with (8.1), of course.

10-D: D' in terms of M'

If we take a closer look at the m' functions in terms of d' , we can notice something useful:

$$m_1'(n) = -d_1'(n) + d_2'(n) - d_3'(n) + d_4'(n) - \dots$$

$$m_2'(n) = d_2'(n) - 2d_3'(n) + 3d_4'(n) - 4d_5'(n) + \dots$$

$$m_3'(n) = -d_3'(n) + 3d_4'(n) - 6d_5'(n) + 10d_6'(n) - \dots$$

Each $m_k'(n)$ doesn't rely on any values of $d_j'(n)$ where $j < k$. So, for example, if we subtract $m_2'(n)$ from $m_1'(n)$, we have

$$m_1'(n) - m_2'(n) = -d_1'(n) + d_3'(n) - 2d_4'(n) + \dots$$

And so we've eliminated the term $d_2'(n)$ from the expression. If we then add $m_3'(n)$ to the left hand side of the expression, we can eliminate $d_3'(n)$ from the right hand side of the expression. If we continue in this fashion, we can express any value of $d_k'(n)$ in terms of $m_k'(n)$. This process reveals that, in fact,

$$d_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} -1^{k+j} \binom{k+j-1}{k-1} m_{k+j}'(n)$$

(10.7)

and

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} -1^{k+j} \binom{k+j-1}{k-1} M_{k+j}'(n)$$

(10.8)

Which in turn means that anything we can express as combinations of $D_k'(n)$ we can express as combinations of $M_k'(n)$, and fairly trivially. In general, this is less useful, because these functions are harder to work with. Nevertheless, it does allow us to do some interesting things.

10-E: Inversion

Because the strict count of divisors and the Möbius function are inverses, we might be inclined to sum up an expression like the following to get an inverse, which would work if we were working with the non-strict equivalents:

$$\sum_{j=2}^n d_1'(j) M_k\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

Much as with Möbius inversion for the strict number of divisors, this does not in fact invert. We know, however, from (10.7) that we can rewrite $d_1'(n)$ as

$$d_1'(n) = -m_1'(n) + m_2'(n) - m_3'(n) + m_4'(n) - \dots$$

If we thus replace $d_1'(n)$ with this expression in our inversion attempt, and then rely on (10.4) to collect terms, we find that we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^n d_1'(j) M_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n -1^j M_{k+j}'(n)$$

(10.9)

If we add the expression on the left together for two subsequent values of k , nearly all the terms on the right cancel out, and we're left, with a quick flip of the minus sign and an insertion of $d_1'(n)$, which of course is just 1, with

$$\sum_{j=2}^n d_1'(j) \left(-M_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - M_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right) = M_k'(n)$$

Much as with inversion and $D_k'(n)$, we will also find, if we use the non-strict version of $d_1'(n)$, that

$$\sum_{j=1} d_1(j) M_k' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) = \sum_{j=0} -1^j M_{k+j}'(n)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1} d_1(j) \left(-M_k' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) - M_{k+1}' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) \right) = M_k'(n)$$

10-F: $\Pi(n)$ In terms of M'

We know from Linnik's identity that

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} d_k'(n) = \frac{1}{a} \text{ if } n = p^a, 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

We have shown, through the process that led to (10.8), how to express $D_k'(n)$ functions in terms of $M_k'(n)$, which means we can change the $D_k'(n)$ functions in the summed version of Linnik's Identity with the $M_k'(n)$ family of functions. If the resulting coefficients are worked through, which is not exactly a pleasant task, we're left with the following identity:

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^k}{k} M_k'(n) = \Pi(n) \tag{10.10}$$

and, consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^k}{k} m_k'(n) = \frac{1}{a} \text{ if } n = p^a, 0 \text{ otherwise} \tag{10.10a}$$

Disregarding, for a moment, my notation, this means we have now expressed the prime power function in terms of the Möbius function

$$-\mu(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab=n; a, b \geq 2} \mu(a)\mu(b) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{abc=n; a, b, c \geq 2} \mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(c) + \frac{1}{4} \sum \dots = \frac{1}{a} \text{ if } n = p^a, 0 \text{ otherwise} \tag{10.11}$$

and, if we use $M(n)$ to mean the Mertens Function,

$$-M(n) + 1 - \sum_{a=2}^n \mu(a) \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(-M \left(\frac{n}{a} \right) + 1 \right) - \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \mu(b) \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(-M \left(\frac{n}{ab} \right) + 1 \right) - \dots \right) \right) = \Pi(n)$$

(10.12)

which is

$$\Pi(n) = -M(n) + 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} m_1'(j) - \frac{1}{3} m_2'(j) + \frac{1}{4} m_3'(j) - \frac{1}{5} m_4'(j) + \dots \right) \left(-M\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + 1 \right) \quad (10.12a)$$

and if we work through the arithmetic, we have

$$\Pi(n) = -M(n) + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(M\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(M\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left(M\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(M\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{6} \left(M\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) - 1 \right) - \dots \quad (10.12b)$$

The formula in (10.10) is also adequate for us to put together another recursive definition of $\Pi(n)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n -\mu(j) \left(\frac{1}{k} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right) \\ \Pi(n) &= v_1(n) \end{aligned} \quad (10.13)$$

10-G: m' Sums of $\Pi(n)$ In terms of M'

We can take the identity from (10.10) and build the following sum out of it

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^k}{k} \sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) M_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

We can then apply (10.4) to the left hand expression, leaving us with

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^k}{k} M_{k+1}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

If we repeat this approach again, we have

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^k}{k} M_{k+2}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_2'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

and, more generally,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^k}{k} M_{k+a}'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n m_a'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(10.14)

10-H: m' sums of $\Pi(n)$ In terms of D'

The identity (10.14) lets us express various sums of $\Pi(n)$ in terms of the $M_k'(n)$ family of functions. As usual, however, the $D_k'(n)$ functions tend to be easiest to evaluate and approximate. Fortunately, equation (10.5) lets us convert equations expressed in terms of $M_k'(n)$ into equations expressed in terms of $D_k'(n)$. As usual, walking through the arithmetic isn't precisely engaging, but if we work through this process, we arrive at expressions like

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) &= -D_2'(n) + \frac{3}{2} D_3'(n) - \frac{11}{6} D_4'(n) + \frac{25}{12} D_5'(n) - \dots \\ \sum_{j=2}^n m_2'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) &= D_3'(n) - \frac{5}{2} D_4'(n) + \frac{13}{3} D_5'(n) - \frac{77}{12} D_6'(n) - \dots \end{aligned}$$

and, if we define the following combinatorial set of constants

$$c(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b -1^{a+j+1} \frac{1}{j} \binom{a+b-1}{b-j}$$

we ultimately find that

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_a'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^{k+1} c(a, k) D_{a+k}'(n)$$

(10.15)

10-I: Other Connections Between M' and D'

A handful of other properties of $D_k'(n)$ and $M_k'(n)$ in relation to each other will be provided here without derivation or comment.

If

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -1^a \binom{k+a-2}{k-1} d_a'(j) - d_1(j)' v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

then

$$M_a'(k) = v_1(n)$$

(10.16)

If

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -1^a \binom{k+a-2}{k-1} m_a'(j) - m_1(j)' v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

then

$$D_a'(k) = v_1(n)$$

(10.17)

For some value $a \leq k$

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{b=0}^a -1^a \binom{a}{b} m_a'(j) D_{k-b}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

and

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{b=0}^a -1^a \binom{a}{b} d_a'(j) M_{k-b}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(10.18)

11. The Strict Number of Prime Powers Summatory Functions

11-A: Overview

This section is going to cover a family of functions built from the prime power function that is suggested by Linnik's Identity. It will provide some core identities of that family of functions, it will show how to express various entries in terms of $D_k'(n)$, and it will allow us to invert Linnik's identity, and show how to express both $D_k'(n)$ and $M_k'(n)$ in terms of it. We'll end with another expression for $\Pi(n)$ in terms of our new strict number of prime powers functions that rely on Bernoulli numbers.

11-B: Core Identities

We're going to work in this section with the prime power function, repeating many of the same processes we did with the M' functions. And so we have

$$p_1'(n) = \frac{1}{a} \text{ if } n = p^a, 0 \text{ otherwise} \tag{11.1}$$

$$p_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} p_1'(j) p_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \tag{11.2}$$

We will then sum these identities, so that we are working with the following family of functions

$$P_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_k'(j) \tag{11.3}$$

It should be obvious that, in this notation,

$$\Pi(n) = P_1'(n)$$

These functions obey the following combinatorial identity

$$P_k'(n) = \sum_{a=2} P_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \sum_{b=2} -\frac{1}{2} P_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \sum_{c=2} \frac{1}{3} P_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \dots$$

or, which is the same thing,

$$v_a(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{P_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)}{a} - v_{a+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$P_k'(n) = v_1(n)$$

(11.3b)

All of this can be rewritten as

$$P_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) P_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(11.4)

and more generally

$$P_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_a'(j) P_{k-a}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(11.5)

11-C: P' in terms of D'

From Linnik's identity, we know that

$$p_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} d_k'(n)$$

and

$$P_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n)$$

By (11.4), we know that

$$P_2'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) P_1\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

We can use Linnik's identity to convert both functions on the right hand side of the equation to get them in terms of D' and d', so that we have

$$P_2'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(d_1'(j) - \frac{1}{2} d_2'(j) + \frac{1}{3} d_3'(j) - \dots \right) \left(D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{2} D_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{3} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \dots \right)$$

If we multiply all these terms out, we can make use of the basic properties of D', from (9.2), to collect

terms, at which point we will find that

$$P_2'(n) = D_2'(n) - D_3'(n) + \frac{11}{12}D_4'(n) - \frac{5}{6}D_5'(n) + \frac{137}{180}D_6'(n) - \dots$$

We can repeat this process to evaluate $P_3'(n)$, starting with

$$P_3'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) P_2\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

and then swapping out $p_1'(n)$ with Linnik's identity and $P_2'(n)$ with the sum in terms of $D_k'(n)$ that we just calculated. If we then use (9.2) to combine terms, we find that we have

$$P_3'(n) = D_3'(n) - \frac{3}{2}D_4'(n) + \frac{7}{4}D_5'(n) - \frac{15}{8}D_6'(n) + \frac{469}{240}D_7'(n) - \dots$$

This process can, of course, be repeated. In the general case, if we have the following formula for generating coefficients

$$c(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b c(1, j) \cdot c(a-1, b-j+1), \text{ where } c(1, b) = \frac{1}{b}$$

(11.6)

then we have the following general formula for expressing $P_k'(n)$ in terms of $D_k'(n)$

$$P_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^{k+1} c(a, k) D_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

(11.7)

and, correspondingly,

$$p_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^{k+1} c(a, k) d_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

(11.7b)

Equation (11.7) is a somewhat interesting statement. Essentially, it provides a mechanism for approximating, by (8.1), not just the number of prime powers less than some number n , but also the number of pairs of prime powers multiplied together, or triplets, and so on. It also means that, if you have already calculated the entire family of $D_k'(n)$ family of functions, which, by the results of this paper, you can calculate in at worst roughly $O(n^{\frac{2}{3}} \ln n)$ time and $O(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \ln n)$ space, you can compute *any* of these values in $O(\ln n)$ time.

It should be obvious that, because of the results from (10.10) and (10.11), we can also express $P_k'(n)$ in terms of $M_k'(n)$. I won't work through the derivation here, but it's almost identical to the process for $D_k'(n)$, above. The results are extremely similar, even using the same coefficients. They are as follows

$$P_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^{k+a+1} c(a, k) M_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

(11.8)

and, correspondingly,

$$p_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^{k+a+1} c(a, k) m_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

(11.8b)

11-D: D_1' in terms of P'

Now that we have the $P_k'(n)$ family of functions in terms of $D_k'(n)$, we will notice that any given equation for $P_k'(n)$ doesn't rely on values of $D_j'(n)$ where $j < k$. This gives us enough room to isolate values of $D_k'(n)$ and express them in terms of $P_k'(n)$.

We begin with the sum of Linnik's identity

$$P_1'(n) = D_1'(n) - \frac{1}{2} D_2'(n) + \frac{1}{3} D_3'(n) - \frac{1}{4} D_4'(n) + \dots$$

If we take our expression from $P_2'(n)$

$$P_2'(n) = D_2'(n) - D_3'(n) + \frac{11}{12} D_4'(n) - \frac{5}{6} D_5'(n) + \frac{137}{180} D_6'(n) - \dots$$

multiply it by one half, and then add it to our expression for $P_1'(n)$, we have

$$P_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2} P_2'(n) = D_1'(n) + \left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right) D_3'(n) - \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{11}{24}\right) D_4'(n) + \dots$$

We can then continue this process by multiplying our expression for $P_3'(n)$ in terms of $D_k'(n)$ by $\left(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and subtracting it from this expression. If we continue this process, we can eventually isolate $D_1'(n)$. Once we do, we find we are left with

$$P_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2} P_2'(n) + \frac{1}{6} P_3'(n) + \frac{1}{24} P_4'(n) + \frac{1}{120} P_5'(n) + \frac{1}{720} \dots = n - 1$$

(11.9)

or, which is to say,

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{P_k'(n)}{k!} = D_1'(n)$$

(11.9b)

and, consequently,

$$d_1'(n) = 1 = p_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2} p_2'(n) + \frac{1}{6} p_3'(n) + \frac{1}{24} p_4'(n) + \frac{1}{120} p_5'(n) + \frac{1}{720} \dots$$

(11.10)

This is essentially Linnik's identity inverted.

Equation (11.9b) also bears a striking resemblance to the power series expression for the exponential function

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} = e^x$$

Once again, stepping away from my notation for a second, we can rewrite (11.9) as

$$\Pi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \frac{1}{5} \dots \right) \right) \right) = n - 1$$

(11.11)

and (11.10) as

$$\frac{\Lambda(n)}{\ln n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \cdot b = n; a, b \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{a \cdot b \cdot c = n; a, b, c \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} + \frac{1}{24} \dots = 1$$

(11.12)

11-E: D_k' in terms of P'

Now that we have equations (11.8) and (11.10), we can build formulas for more values of D_k' . If we begin with

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{P_k'(n)}{k!} = D_1'(n)$$

we can sum both sides of the equation by values ranging from $\frac{n}{2}$ to $\frac{n}{n}$, like so.

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=2}^n d_1'(j) P_k'(\frac{n}{j}) = \sum_{j=2}^n d_1(j)' D_1'(\frac{n}{j})$$

The right side of this equation is obviously $D_2'(n)$. On the left side, meanwhile, we can replace d_1' by (11.10) to stay in terms of $p_k'(n)$, and so we have (with a little bit of unrolling)

$$\sum_{j=2}^n (p_1'(j) + \frac{1}{2} p_2'(j) + \frac{1}{6} p_3'(j) + \dots) (P_1'(\frac{n}{j}) + \frac{1}{2} P_2'(\frac{n}{j}) + \frac{1}{6} P_3'(\frac{n}{j}) + \dots) = D_2'(n)$$

If we multiply out this expression, collect terms, and then make use of (11.5), we find that we have

$$D_2'(k) = P_2'(n) + P_3'(n) + \frac{7}{12} P_4'(n) + \frac{1}{4} P_5'(n) + \frac{31}{360} P_6'(n) + \dots$$

If we do this exact process again, we have

$$D_3'(k) = P_3'(n) + \frac{3}{2} P_4'(n) + \frac{5}{4} P_5'(n) + \frac{3}{4} P_6'(n) + \frac{43}{120} P_7'(n) + \dots$$

And, of course, we can ultimately express this more generally. If we have the following coefficients

$$c(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b c(1, j) \cdot c(a-1, b-j+1), \text{ where } c(1, b) = \frac{1}{b!}$$

(11.13)

then we have

$$D_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n c(a, k) P_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

(11.14)

and

$$d_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n c(a, k) p_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

(11.15)

Abandoning my notation again for just a second, this means that, just as one example,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n d_2(\frac{n}{j}) - 2d_1(\frac{n}{j}) + 1 = \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(\Pi(\frac{n}{a}) + \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(\Pi(\frac{n}{ab}) + \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(\frac{7}{12} \Pi(\frac{n}{abc}) + \frac{31}{360} \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

11-F: M_k' in terms of P_k'

As before, the process that generated (11.14) can also be used to generate a number of identities that put M_k' in terms of P_k' . Although it won't be worked through here, the process is essentially identical to what has already been shown. If we work through it, we find that, with $c(a, k)$ the coefficients from (11.13),

$$M_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^{k+a+1} c(a, k) P_{a+k-1}'(n) \tag{11.16}$$

and

$$m_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^{k+a+1} c(a, k) p_{a+k-1}'(n) \tag{11.17}$$

and, in particular,

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^k P_k'(n)}{k!} = M_1'(n)$$

Once again, abandoning my notation for a second, this means that

$$-\Pi(n) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(-\Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(-\Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(-\Pi\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \frac{1}{5} \dots \right) \right) \right) = M(n) - 1 \tag{11.18}$$

where $M(n)$ is Mertens function, and

$$-\frac{\Lambda(n)}{\ln n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \cdot b = n; a, b \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{a \cdot b \cdot c = n; a, b, c \geq 2} \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \cdot \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} + \frac{1}{24} \dots = \mu(n) \tag{11.19}$$

except, of course, for the value of 1.

11-G: Recursive Expressions for M_1' and D_1' in terms of p_1'

As with so many other expressions throughout this paper, we can reformulate (11.9) and its $M(n)$ equivalent a recursive expressions, and, as such, we have the following

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$v_1(n) = n - 1$$

(11.20)

and

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -p_1'(j) \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$v_1(n) = M(n) - 1$$

(11.21)

where $M(n)$ is Mertens function. Setting aside my notation again for a second, this means that

$$v_k(n) = \Pi(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{(k+1) \ln j} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v_1(n) = n - 1$$

(11.22)

and

$$v_k(n) = -\Pi(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{(k+1) \ln j} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v_1(n) = M(n) - 1$$

(11.23)

11-H: $\Pi(n)$ In Terms of $P_k'(n)$

From Linnik's Identity, we know that

$$\Pi(n) = D_1'(n) - \frac{1}{2}D_2'(n) + \frac{1}{3}D_3'(n) - \frac{1}{4}D_4'(n) + \dots$$

If we make use of the basic identity from (3.1), this means that

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2} 1 - \frac{1}{2}D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{3}D_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{4}D_3'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \dots \quad (11.24)$$

or, alternatively,

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2} 1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3}d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4}d_3'(j) + \dots\right) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (11.25)$$

(11.14) and (11.15) provide us with the means for expressing $d_k'(n)$ and $D_k'(n)$ in terms of $p_k'(n)$ and $P_k'(n)$. Thus, we can change the right hand sides of (11.24) and (11.25) to be in terms of $p_k'(n)$. This paper won't work through the math of collecting these coefficients, but if we go through this process for (11.24), we will find that

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2} 1 - \frac{1}{2}P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{12}P_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{720}P_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{30240}P_6'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \dots$$

which are not entirely unfamiliar coefficients. In fact, if B_k are the Bernoulli numbers, with $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2} 1 + \frac{B_1}{1!}P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{B_2}{2!}P_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{B_4}{4!}P_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{B_6}{6!}P_6'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \dots \quad (11.26)$$

and

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2} 1 + \left(\frac{B_1}{1!}p_1'(j) + \frac{B_2}{2!}p_2'(j) + \frac{B_4}{4!}p_4'(j) + \frac{B_6}{6!}p_6'(j) + \dots\right) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \right\rfloor - 1\right) \quad (11.27)$$

which, with the arithmetic worked through ((11.25) would yield identical results), is

$$\Pi(n) = n - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{6}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + \frac{1}{6}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{7} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{12}\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{8} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \dots \quad (11.27a)$$

The expression (11.27) leads to yet another interesting recursive definition of $\Pi(n)$, namely

$$v_k(n) = \frac{B_k}{k!} (\lfloor n \rfloor - 1) + \sum_{j=2} p_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

(11.27b)

which is essentially the inversion of (9.10). Again, abandoning my notation, this means that

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n 1 + \frac{B_1}{1!} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(\sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{B_2}{2!} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(\sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} \frac{B_4}{4!} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{abcd}\right) + \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

(11.28)

and

$$v_k(n) = \frac{B_k}{k!} (\lfloor n \rfloor - 1) + \sum_{j=2} \frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

(11.28b)

11-I: Another Relationship between $M(n)$ and $\Pi(n)$

If we mirror the processes that led to (9.10) and (11.28b), we can derive the following recursive identities

$$v_k(n) = -\frac{B_k}{k!} (M(n) - 1) - \sum_{j=2} \frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

(11.29)

and

$$v_k(n) = C_k \Pi(n) - \sum_{j=2} \mu(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$M(n) - 1 = v_0(n)$$

(11.30)

where B_k are the Bernoulli numbers, C_k are the Gregory coefficients found in (9.7), and $M(n)$ is the Mertens function.

If (11.30) is unrolled, it can also be written as

$$M(n)-1 = -\Pi(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n (-C_1 m_1'(j) + C_2 m_2'(j) - C_3 m_3'(j) + \dots) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (11.30a)$$

which, when the arithmetic is worked through, results in

$$M(n)-1 = -\Pi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{12} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + \dots \quad (11.30b)$$

Working through (11.29) will produce (10.12b).

This identity can also be written as

$$M(n)-1 = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -1^k C_k M_k' \left(\frac{n}{j} \right) \right) \quad (11.30c)$$

(keeping in mind that $M_0'(n)=1$) and

$$M(n)-1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j+1}\right) \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} -1^k C_k M_k'(j) \right) \quad (11.30d)$$

11-J: More P_k' Identities

A few more identities connected to the $P_k'(n)$ family of functions will be given here without derivation.

$$\sum_{j=2}^n P_1'(n) = P_2'(n) + \frac{1}{2} P_3'(n) + \frac{1}{6} P_4'(n) + \frac{1}{24} P_5'(n) + \dots \quad (11.31)$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^n P_a'(n) = P_{a+1}'(n) + \frac{1}{2} P_{a+2}'(n) + \frac{1}{6} P_{a+3}'(n) + \frac{1}{24} P_{a+4}'(n) + \dots \quad (11.32)$$

Additionally, there is an equivalent here for the equation from (9.13),

$$P_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(\sum_{a=1}^j \frac{p_a'(j)}{a!} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{b=0}^{j-k} \frac{B_b}{b!} P_{b+k}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

where B_k are the Bernoulli numbers with $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, but these functions reduce to a more simple form here because, by (11.10), the left hand sum reduces to 1, and so we have

$$P_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{b=0}^{j-k} \frac{B_b}{b!} P_{b+k}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(11.33)

which, of course, (11.26) is a special case of.

12. The Strict Inverse of Prime Powers Summatory Functions

12-A: Overview

This section will introduce an inversion function for the prime power function of section 11. It will provide several more interesting properties of $\Pi(n)$ that rely on this function, and it will also provide methods to express this inversion function in terms of $D_k'(n)$.

12-B: General Inversion

One of the more interesting aspects of the relationships cataloged in sections 9 and 10 between $D_k'(n)$ and $M_k'(n)$ is that they're generally purely combinatorial relationships; they don't rely on any specific properties of $d_1'(n)$ at all, which is, in the entire system, the only function that isn't based on any other identities in the system. Consequently, we can draw the conclusion that, if we have some function $f_1(n)$, and we define the following expression

$$f_k(n) = \sum_{j|n, 1 < j < n} f_1(j) f_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

then the inverse function for $f_1(n)$, in this context, will be given by

$$g_1(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -1^k f_k(n)$$

If you then build extended values of $g(n)$

$$g_k(n) = \sum_{j|n, 1 < j < n} g_1(j) g_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

and you build some summatory functions

$$F_k(n) = \sum_2^n f_k(n)$$

$$G_k(n) = \sum_2^n g_k(n)$$

You'll then find that $f_k(n)$, $F_k(n)$, $g_k(n)$, and $G_k(n)$ possess all the relationships that $D_k'(n)$ and $M_k'(n)$ have, regardless of the function $f_1(n)$ (note that, in sections 9 and 10, this only applies to

equations that are solely expressed in terms of $D_k'(n)$ and $M_k'(n)$ and $d_k'(n)$ and $m_k'(n)$, with none being explicitly evaluated.)

So let's do this now with our prime power function, $p_k'(n)$, from section 11.

12-C: Core Identities

For this paper, we'll name our inversion function q' , so

$$q_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^k p_k(n)$$

$$q_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} q_1'(j) q_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (12.1)$$

And so on. The first few values for $q_1'(n)$, starting at 2:

$$[2-10]: -1, -1, \frac{1}{2}, -1, 2, -1, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -2$$

$$[11-20]: -1, -2, -1, 2, 2, \frac{1}{6}, -1, -2, -1, -2$$

$$[21-30]: 2, 2, -1, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, 2, -\frac{1}{3}, -2, -1, -6$$

$$[31-40]: -1, -\frac{7}{60}, 2, 2, 2, \frac{7}{2}, -1, 2, 2, \frac{5}{3}$$

$$[41-50]: -1, -6, -1, -2, -2, 2, -1, -\frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, -2$$

We also have our summatory function

$$Q_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n q_k'(j) \quad (12.2)$$

$q_1'(n)$ is essentially the Möbius function of the prime power function, and $Q_1'(n)$ its Mertens function. $Q_1'(n)$ also satisfies

$$Q_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n q_1'(j) Q_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

and more generally

$$Q_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n q_a'(j) Q_{k-a}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (12.3)$$

Additionally,

$$Q_1'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -p_1'(j) (1 + Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) \quad (12.3a)$$

and, more generally,

$$Q_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -p_1'(j) (Q_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + Q_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) \quad (12.3b)$$

Our interest in $q_k'(n)$ and $Q_k'(n)$ comes mainly from what other relationships about $p_k'(n)$ they let us express. Because these identities are derived in exactly the same fashion as those for $D_k'(n)$ and $M_k'(n)$, their paper trail will be left out here.

Of note is

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k Q_k'(n) \quad (12.4)$$

Additionally,

$$\sum_{j=2}^n q_1'(j) P_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n -1^j P_{k+j}'(n)$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^n -q_1'(j) (P_k'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + P_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) = P_k'(n)$$

Because $P_0'(n) = 1$, if we evaluate this last expression for $k=1$, we get

$$\sum_{j=2}^n -q_1'(j) (P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + 1) = P_1'(n)$$

Which is to say,

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -q_1'(j) (1 + \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) \quad (12.5)$$

Additionally,

$$\Pi(n) = -Q_1'(n) - \sum_{j=2} p_1'(j) Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(12.5a)

which, with the arithmetic worked through, leads to

$$\Pi(n) = -Q_1'(n) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{2} Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) - \frac{1}{3} Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2} Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{9}\right) - \dots$$

(12.5b)

which has the picturesque quality that the sum only consists of Q' of n over prime powers. The inverse, from

$$Q_1'(n) = -\Pi(n) - \sum_{j=2} q_1'(j) \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

can also be written as

$$Q_1'(n) = -\Pi(n) + \Pi\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Pi\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \Pi\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - 2\Pi\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + \Pi\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) - \dots$$

(12.5c)

Other relationships that apply include (10.5) through (10.8).

12-D: Q' in terms of D'

As always, both for approximation and computation, it's attractive to be able to express functions in terms of the D_k' families of functions. The Q_k' family of functions can, in fact, be expressed thusly, although the fractional churn that is applying to the coefficients is starting to become slightly more severe. At any rate, those identities will be given here. The process for arriving at these values is the same sort of inversions that have been happening throughout the course of this paper, so they won't be detailed here.

$$Q_1'(n) = -D_1'(n) + \frac{3}{2} D_2'(n) - \frac{7}{3} D_3'(n) + \frac{11}{3} D_4'(n) - \frac{347}{60} D_5'(n) + \frac{3289}{360} \dots$$

$$Q_2'(n) = D_2'(n) - 3 D_3'(n) + \frac{83}{12} D_4'(n) - \frac{43}{3} D_5'(n) + \frac{2521}{90} D_6'(n) - \frac{791}{15} \dots$$

$$Q_3'(n) = -D_3'(n) + \frac{9}{2} D_4'(n) - \frac{55}{4} D_5'(n) + \frac{283}{8} D_6'(n) - \frac{2473}{30} D_7'(n) + \frac{791}{15} \dots$$

and, more generally, if we have the two family of constants

$$cp(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b cp(1, j) \cdot cp(a-1, b-j+1) \text{ where } cp(1, b) = \frac{1}{b}$$

(which were the constants for converting P_k' into D_k')

and

$$cq(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b cq(1, j) \cdot cq(a-1, b-j+1) \text{ where } cq(1, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b cp(j, b-j+1) \quad (12.6)$$

then

$$Q_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k cq(a, k) D_{a+k-1}'(n) \quad (12.7)$$

$$q_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k cq(a, k) d_{a+k-1}'(n) \quad (12.8)$$

12-E: Non-strict q' and non-strict P'

One other side result for $q_1'(n)$. To connect back more to the regular (non-strict) divisor and Möbius functions, we can define a new function, $q_1(n)$, which is identical to $q_1'(n)$, except that $q_1'(1)=1$. We can also do the same thing with $p_1'(n)$, and so $p_1(n)$ in this context will be a prime power function where $p_1(1)=1$.

This opens up the door to a few other interesting identities.

First, we have the following identity to translate from our strict functions to these new non-strict functions.

$$P_k(n) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} P_j'(n) \quad (12.9)$$

Second, we can use these two identities to create a prime power inversion formula, such that if

$$g(n) = \sum_{d|n} p_1(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

then this can be inverted with $q_1(n)$, and

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} q_1(d) f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$$

(12.10)

This means, too, that if

$$G(n) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} p_1(j) F\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

then

$$F(n) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} q_1(j) G\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(12.11)

The $P_k(n)$ family of functions inverts normally, so

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_1(j) P_k\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = P_{k-1}(n)$$

and in particular $P_1(n)$, which is equal to $\Pi(n)+1$, is

$$\sum_{j=1}^n q_1(j) P_1\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = 1$$

13. Generalizing the Transformation, and Some Promising Coefficients

13-A: Overview

This section will begin by introducing repeated transformations, showing how to express both D_k' and P_k' as some other families of functions. It will then massively generalize the underlying process, introducing a general transformation technique that essentially captures most of the relationships presented in sections 9-12 as well as opening the door to many more. It will end by listing some other promising coefficients to explore.

13-B: Repeated Transformations

From [2] we know, using my notation, that

$$m_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^k d_k'(n)$$

and from (10.7) we know that its inverse,

$$d_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1} -1^k m_k'(n)$$

is also true. So these are, essentially, reversible actions. It's interesting, incidentally, to draw the connection here between both of these expressions and

$$\frac{1}{(1+x)} = \sum_{k=0} -1^k x^k$$

At any rate, as such, this transformation cannot be repeated for any sort of interesting results.

This is not the case for the connection between D_k' and P_k' . We know from Linnik's identity, again in my notation, that

$$p_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} d_k'(n)$$

Conversely, we also know from (11.10) that

$$\sum_{k=1} p_k'(n) = d_1'(n)$$

all of which bears an important resemblance to

$$\ln(1+x) = \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} x^k$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} = e^x$$

13-C: The Exponential of D_k'

Unlike with the Möbius inversion above, both of these are transformations that can be repeated. And so, for example, if we define a new function with the following properties

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{1}{k!} d_k'(n) = z_1'(n); \quad z_k(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} z_1'(j) z_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad Z_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n z_k'(j)$$

and then go through all of the typical work performed on new such functions in sections 10-12, we will find that, for example,

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} Z_k'(n) = D_1'(n) = n - 1$$

and that all of the D_k' to P_k' relationships will be repeated, with D_k' in the role of P_k' , and with Z_k' in the role of D_k' .

This family of functions Z' has the interesting property, incidentally, of being combinatorial related by

$$Z_k'(n) = \sum_{a=2} Z_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2} Z_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{3} \sum_{c=2} Z_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{4} \dots\right)\right)\right)$$

At any rate, regardless of how many times this process is repeated in either direction, we should still end up with families of functions that can be expressed exactly as linear combinations of the D_k' family of functions, and approximated or evaluated thusly. Actually, what's more, any of these families of functions (and their inversions) can be expressed exactly as linear combinations of any other family of functions or its inversions.

13-D: The Log of P_k'

Purely for the sake of novelty and collecting more formulas for $\Pi(n)$, we will end this short stub of a section with the observation that, if we define the following function in terms of the P_k' family of functions from section 11,

$$w_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1} \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} p_k'(n); \quad w_k(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} w_1'(j) w_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad W_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n w_k'(j)$$

and then proceed through all of the other function defining we've tended to do, we will find that all of the D_k' to P_k' relationships will be repeated, with P_k' in the role of D_k' , and with W_k' in the role of P_k' , and thus we will see that

$$\sum_{k=1} \frac{W_k'(n)}{k!} = \Pi(n)$$

(13.1)

and, where C_k are the Gregory coefficients from (9.10),

$$v_k(n) = -C_k W_1'(j) - \sum_{j=2} p_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v_0(n) = \Pi(n)$$

(13.2)

13-E: Generalizing the Transformation Process

Taking a higher level view, if we have some function $f_1'(n)$, and we define the following relationships

$$f_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} f_1'(j) f_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad F_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n f_k'(j)$$

(13.3)

and we have some sequence of coefficients a_k , and we further define the following relationships

$$\sum_{k=1} a_k f_k'(n) = g_1'(n); \quad g_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} g_1'(j) g_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad G_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n g_k'(j)$$

(13.4)

then we will find the following relationships hold:

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(n) &= a_k F_1'(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n f_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ v_1(n) &= G_1'(n) \end{aligned}$$

or, which is the same thing

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n f_1'(j) \left(a_k + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right) \\ v_1(n) &= G_1'(n) \end{aligned} \tag{13.5}$$

Additionally,

$$G_1'(n) = a_1 F_1'(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n (a_2 f_1'(j) + a_3 f_2'(j) + a_4 f_3'(j) + \dots) F_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \tag{13.5a}$$

If we define the following sequence of constants

$$\alpha(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha(1, j) \cdot \alpha(n-1, k-j+1), \text{ where } \alpha(1, k) = a_k \tag{13.6}$$

then we will further find that

$$G_k'(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha(k, j) F_{k+j-1}'(n) \tag{13.7}$$

and

$$g_k'(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha(k, j) f_{k+j-1}'(n) \tag{13.8}$$

If $a_1 \neq 0$, a_k has a corresponding series of coefficients b_k that can be used to invert these relationships. If we have $\alpha(n, k)$ from (13.6), then

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha(1, 1)} \tag{13.9}$$

and, for $k > 1$,

$$b_k = -\frac{1}{\alpha(k, 1)} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_j \alpha(j, k-j+1) \tag{13.10}$$

We then have

$$\sum_{k=1} b_k g_k'(n) = f_1'(n) \tag{13.11}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1} b_k G_k'(n) = F_1'(n) \tag{13.12}$$

and

$$F_1'(n) = b_1 G_1'(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n (b_2 g_1'(j) + b_3 g_2'(j) + b_4 g_3'(j) + \dots) G_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \tag{13.13}$$

We will also find the following relationships hold:

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(n) &= b_k G_1'(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n g_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ v_1(n) &= F_1'(n) \end{aligned}$$

or, which is the same thing

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n g_1'(j) (b_k + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) \\ v_1(n) &= F_1'(n) \end{aligned} \tag{13.14}$$

If we define the following sequence of constants

$$\beta(n, k) = \sum_{j=1}^k \beta(1, j) \cdot \beta(n-1, k-j+1), \text{ where } \beta(1, k) = b_k \tag{13.15}$$

then we will find that

$$F_k'(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k \beta(k, j) G_{k+j-1}'(n) \tag{13.16}$$

and

$$f_k'(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k \beta(k, j) g_{k+j-1}'(n) \tag{13.17}$$

This is, essentially, the basic pattern present in sections 9-12. Linnik's identity is one such transformation, and (11.10) is its inversion. [2] gives another such identity for, roughly, $\mu(n)$, and (10.7) is its inversion..

13-F: Some Transformation Inversion Coefficient Pairs

The following pairs of coefficients, calculated with (13.10), can be used to convert series between each other:

a_k	b_k
1	-1^{k+1}
-1	-1
-1^k	-1^k
$\frac{1}{k}$	$\frac{-1^{k+1}}{k!}$
$-\frac{1}{k}$	$-\frac{1}{k!}$
$\frac{-1^k}{k}$	$\frac{-1^k}{k!}$
$\frac{-1^{k+1}}{k}$	$\frac{1}{k!}$
$\frac{1}{c}$	$-1^{k+1}c^k$
$-\frac{1}{c}$	$-c^k$
$\frac{-1^k}{c}$	$(-c)^k$
$\frac{-1^{k+1}}{c}$	c^k

So, as an example, if the coefficients for expressing $P_1'(n)$ in terms of $D_k'(n)$ is $a_k = \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k}$, then the coefficients for expressing $D_1'(n)$ in terms of $P_k'(n)$ is $b_k = \frac{1}{k!}$.

13-G: Some Other Possible Coefficients

$P_k'(n)$, $M_k'(n)$, $W_k'(n)$, $Z_k'(n)$, and related families of functions, can all be expressed exactly as

linear combinations of $D_k'(n)$. They can also all be expressed exactly as linear combinations of $P_k'(n)$, and of $M_k'(n)$, and of $Q_k'(n)$, and so on – as can, in fact, $D_k'(n)$. Any set of functions can serve as the basis for all the others, which the details of 13-E should make clear.

This, incidentally, means that all of these families of functions have a set of coefficients that satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{k=1} a_k F_k'(n) = n - 1 \quad (13.18)$$

and, further, that all of them also have coefficients that satisfy the equation

$$\sum_{k=1} b_k F_k'(n) = \Pi(n) \quad (13.19)$$

Important to note is that each of these families of functions are governed by the same distribution patterns that govern the $d_k'(n)$. In particular, if we have the family of functions given by

$$\sum_{k=1} a_k d_k'(n) = f_1'(n); \quad f_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} f_1'(j) f_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad F_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n f_k'(j) \quad (13.20)$$

then we will find that when $d_k'(n) = 0$, $f_k'(n) = 0$. It will also generally be the case that when $d_k'(n) \neq 0$, $f_k'(n) \neq 0$, unless the coefficients cause the $d_k'(n)$ terms to cancel out. Additionally, $f_k'(n) = 0$ and $F_k'(n) = 0$ when $n < 2^k$.

This paper has primarily explored families of functions of the form $f_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1} a_k d_k'(n)$ where $f_1'(n)$ has represented some sort of normal mathematical relationship. So, by coefficients, if d_1' mirrors the identity n , then m_1' mirrors $\frac{1}{n}$, p_1' mirrors $\ln n$, q_1' mirrors $\frac{1}{\ln n}$, z_1' mirrors e^n , and w_1' mirrors $\ln \ln n$. This is largely captured in the table in 13-F. The connection of these coefficients to normal functions is useful here, but it is not necessary. In particular, we can look for coefficients that are selected because the resulting family of functions is more amenable to analysis and approximation – much as the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions are easier to reason about and calculate than, for example, the $M_k'(n)$ family of functions.

A few families of functions that might be interesting to explore in this regard are, if C_k are the Gregory coefficients found in (9.7),

$$\sum_{k=1} -1^{k+1} C_k d_k'(n) = s_1'(n); \quad s_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} s_1'(j) s_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad S_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n s_k'(j) \quad (13.21)$$

and, repeating this process,

$$\sum_{k=1} -1^{k+1} C_k s_k'(n) = t_1'(n); \quad t_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} t_1'(j) t_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad T_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n t_k'(j)$$

(13.22)

Another possibly interesting function to explore is

$$\sum_{k=1} C_k p_k'(n) = u_1'(n); \quad u_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} u_1'(j) u_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad U_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n u_k'(j) \quad (13.23)$$

At least visually, all of these families of functions seem to have smaller discontinuities, especially (13.22) and (13.23). Hence, it might be interesting to see if these functions can be approximated well, and then to express our other families of functions, like $D_k'(n)$ and $\Pi(n)$, in terms of them.

So, as just one example, for (13.23), $a_k = C_k$, the Gregory coefficients. If a_k is plugged into (13.6) and then (13.9) and (13.10), this gives us a set of coefficients b_k such that

$$\sum_{k=1} b_k U_k'(n) = \Pi(n) \quad (13.24)$$

14. Other Root Functions

14-A: Overview

An interesting aspect of almost all of these identities, as alluded to in section 12 and elaborated on in 13-E, is that they generally are combinatorial aspects of the relationships between these functions; the fact that $d_1'(n)=1$ is generally not relevant to these identities...

This in turn means that many of the identities found in sections 9 through 13 will still hold even if we swap out $d_1'(n)=1$ with some other function. (Note that the following is mostly based on heuristic reasoning and a fair bit of empiricism) As far as I can tell, these relationships hold with $d_1'(n)$ taking on all sorts of values, but there's a much, much smaller range of functions for which the resulting identities say anything interesting about prime power sequences – from what I have found, essentially only for cases when $d_1'(n)=n^a$, of which $d_1'(n)=1=n^0$ is obviously one such case.

14-B: $d_1'(n)=n$

So, for example, if we now use $d_1'(n)=n$, then we will find that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_1'(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n j \\
 D_2'(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{j}{2}} jk \\
 D_3'(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{j}{2}} \sum_{a=2}^{\frac{jk}{2}} jka
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14.1}$$

and so on. In this same scheme, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1'(n) &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n; j=p^a} \frac{j}{a} \\
 P_2'(n) &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n; j=p^a} \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n; k=p^b} \frac{jk}{ab}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{14.2}$$

and so on as well. At this point, all of our identities, particularly from section 12, should still hold, and so we can say, for example, that

$$\sum_{2 \leq j \leq n; j=p^a} \frac{j}{a} = \sum_{j=2}^n j - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} jk + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{a=2}^{\frac{n}{jk}} jka - \frac{1}{4} \dots \quad (14.3)$$

which is essentially Linnik's Identity for the function $d_1'(n)=n$. Additionally, we should also be able to say, at this point, from (11.9), that

$$P_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2} P_2'(n) + \frac{1}{6} P_3'(n) + \dots = D_1'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n j = \frac{j(j+1)}{2} - 1 \quad (14.4)$$

As before, for most functions, it's likely easier to evaluate or to approximate the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions than anything connected to prime powers; all of the relationships found in Appendix 2 below should hold for the family of functions just described. Obviously Möbius-style inverses for both of these functions, with all attendant properties, could be generated as well.

Perhaps equally interesting for this case are the non-summatory identities

$$n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab=n; a, b \geq 2} n + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{abc=n; a, b, c \geq 2} n - \frac{1}{4} \sum \dots = \frac{n}{a} \text{ if } n = p^a, 0 \text{ otherwise} \quad (14.5)$$

and, using $p_1'(n)$ from before as our prime power function

$$p_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab=n; a, b \geq 2} p_1'(a) p_1'(b) + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{abc=n; a, b, c \geq 2} p_1'(a) p_1'(b) p_1'(c) + \frac{1}{24} \sum \dots = n \quad (14.6)$$

This can all be rewritten recursively as

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n j \left(\frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right) \\ v_1(n) = \sum_{1 < p < n} \frac{\Lambda(p)}{\ln p} p \quad (14.7)$$

and

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j) j}{\ln j} \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right) \\ v_1(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1$$

(14.8)

$$\mathbf{14-C:} \quad d_1'(n) = \frac{1}{n}$$

As another example, if we have $d_1'(n) = \frac{1}{n}$, then we obviously have

$$D_1'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j}$$

$$D_2'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \frac{1}{jk}$$

$$D_3'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{a=2}^{\frac{n}{jk}} \frac{1}{jka}$$

(14.9)

and so on, and we also have

$$P_1'(n) = \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n; j=p^a} \frac{1}{ja}$$

$$P_2'(n) = \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n; j=p^a} \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n; k=p^b} \frac{1}{abjk}$$

(14.10)

and so on – essentially, we're looking at both the harmonic series and its prime power equivalent here.

Given these two families of functions, we in turn have

$$\sum_{2 \leq j \leq n; j=p^a} \frac{1}{ja} = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \frac{1}{jk} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{a=2}^{\frac{n}{jk}} \frac{1}{jka} - \frac{1}{4} \dots$$

(14.11)

which, again, is essentially the Linnik's identity for this family of functions, and we have

$$P_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2} P_2'(n) + \frac{1}{6} P_3'(n) + \dots = D_1'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j} = H_n - 1$$

(14.12)

where H_n is the n th harmonic number. And, of course, we have all the other identities from sections 9-13 besides.

This can all be rewritten recursively as

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{j} \left(\frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$v_1(n) = \sum_{1 < p < n} \frac{\Lambda(p)}{p \ln p}$$
(14.13)

and

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{j \ln j} \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$v_1(n) = H_n - 1$$
(14.14)

This paper has no particular results from this process, only a general observation that this seems like it could be a productive or at least interesting tool.

15. Discontinuities as Fractional Parts

15-A: Overview

In this section, we're going to split $\Pi(n)$ into two separate functions, one of which will be fairly smooth and continuous, and the other of which will contain all the discontinuous oscillations. We will do this with $M(n)$, too. This will be done in the hopes of providing another angle for examining the oscillating parts of both of these functions.

15-B: Replacing Floor Functions with Fractional Parts

It should be clear that, if we denote the fractional part of a number by $\{n\}$, that we can take, say, $D_3'(n)$,

$$D_3'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{jk} \right\rfloor - 1$$

and we can replace the floor with our fractional part like so

$$D_3'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \frac{n}{jk} - \left\{ \frac{n}{jk} \right\} - 1$$

which can be rewritten as

$$D_3'(n) = \left(\sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \frac{n}{jk} - 1 \right) - \left(\sum_{j=2}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\frac{n}{j}} \left\{ \frac{n}{jk} \right\} \right) \quad (15.1)$$

A moment's thought should make it clear that we could do this for any value of $D_k'(n)$, and in turn for both $\Pi(n)$ and $M(n)$, as well as all the other functions expressed as linear combinations of $D_k'(n)$ in this paper.

It turns out that the first double sum in (15.1) happens to be relatively smooth and continuous, at least in comparison to almost all the other functions studied in this paper, and so this process lets us isolate the sharp and discontinuous oscillations of the $D_k'(n)$ functions into sums of the second sort. We will discuss the left sums first.

15-C: The Function D^*

So let's go ahead and name this new family of functions D^* . They have the following properties.

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^*(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n D_{k-1}^*\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ D_1^*(n) &= n-1 \end{aligned} \tag{15.2}$$

They're almost identical to $D_k'(n)$ except, of course, for the lack of a floor function.

If our regular $D_k'(n)$ function is closely related to the regular number of divisors functions $d_k(n)$, these new functions also are connected to the Harmonic number series; in fact, if H_n is the n th Harmonic number, such that

$$H_n = \sum_1^n \frac{1}{n}$$

then

$$D_2^*(n) = n H_n - 2n + 1 \tag{15.3}$$

For these functions, it will be useful to define a series of strict number of divisor harmonic numbers, such that

$$H_k(n)' = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{d_{k-1}'(j)}{j} \tag{15.4}$$

With our new series H_n' , we can express the following aspects of D^*

$$D_k^*(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n H_k'(j-1) \tag{15.5}$$

$$D_k^*(n) = D_k^*(n-1) + H_k'(n-1) \tag{15.6}$$

$$D_k^*(n+1) = 2D_k^*(n) - D_k^*(n-1) + \frac{d_{k-1}'(n)}{n} \quad (15.7)$$

As mentioned, these functions are much smoother than $D_k'(n)$ which (15.6), combined with (15.4) would highly suggest.

15-D: The Function P*

Because our overarching goal is to extract the discontinuous aspects of $\Pi(n)$, we're going to build a function similar to D^* but for prime powers.


Our function P^* can be defined by Linnik's Identity equivalent,

$$P^*(n) = D_1^*(n) - \frac{1}{2}D_2^*(n) + \frac{1}{3}D_3^*(n) - \frac{1}{4}D_4^*(n) + \frac{1}{5}D_5^*(n) - \dots \quad (15.8)$$

or, equivalently,

$$v_k(n) = \frac{n-1}{k} - \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$P^*(n) = v_1(n) \quad (15.9)$$



A graph of P^* from 0 to 360

P^* has several identities similar to D^* . If we define the following, where,

$$H_p'(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{(-\frac{1}{2}d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3}d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4}d_3'(j) + \frac{1}{5}d_4'(j) - \dots)}{j}$$

or, equivalently,

$$H_p'(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{(-\frac{1}{2}p_1'(j) + \frac{1}{12}p_2'(j) - \frac{1}{720}p_4'(j) + \frac{1}{30240}p_6'(j) - \dots)}{j} \quad (15.10)$$

we will find that

$$P^*(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n H_p'(j-1) \quad (15.11)$$

and

$$P^*(n) = P^*(n-1) + H_p'(n-1) \quad (15.12)$$

and

$$P^*(n+1) = 2P^*(n) - P^*(n-1) + \frac{-\frac{1}{2}p_1'(n) + \frac{1}{12}p_2'(n) - \frac{1}{720}p_4'(n) + \frac{1}{30240}p_6'(n) - \dots}{n}$$

(15.13)

Looking at (15.12) and (15.10) should be enough to make it clear that P^* is a relatively smooth function.

I'm not currently sure how easily or tightly P^* can be approximated.

15-E: Separating out P^*

The prime power counting function can be expressed as

$$v_k(n) = \frac{\lfloor n \rfloor - 1}{k} - \sum_{j=2}^n v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_1(n)$$

Mirroring the process that led to (15.1), we can split this up into two parts,

$$w_k(n) = \frac{n-1}{k} - \sum_{j=2}^n w_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$P^*(n) = w_1(n)$$

(15.14)

$$v_k(n) = \frac{\{n\}}{k} - \sum_{j=2}^n v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$


$$P_f'(n) = v_1(n)$$

(15.14a)

$$\Pi(n) = P^*(n) - P_f'(n)$$

(15.15)

We have at least suggestive evidence from (15.12) and (15.10) that P^* is a pretty smooth function, and so it can't account, at all, for the discontinuities that show up in $\Pi(n)$. This in turn suggests the jumps in $\Pi(n)$ must be equivalently encoded in (15.14a) somehow.



A graph of $P_f'(n)$ from 0 to 360

At least visually, this certainly seems to be the case.

If we name the function in (15.14a) $P_f'(n)$, then we can rewrite (15.14a) as

$$P_f'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3} d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4} d_3'(j) + \frac{1}{5} d_4'(j) - \dots \right) \left\{ \frac{n}{j} \right\} \quad (15.16)$$

or

$$P_f'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} p_1'(j) + \frac{1}{12} p_2'(j) - \frac{1}{720} p_4'(j) + \frac{1}{30240} p_6'(j) - \dots \right) \left\{ \frac{n}{j} \right\} \quad (15.17)$$

which is

$$P_f'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(\frac{B_1}{1!} p_1'(j) + \frac{B_2}{2!} p_2'(j) + \frac{B_4}{4!} p_4'(j) + \frac{B_6}{6!} p_6'(j) + \dots \right) \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{j} \right\} \right) \quad (15.17a)$$

which is also

$$\begin{aligned}
v_k(n) &= \frac{B_k \{n\}}{k!} + \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
P_f'(n) &= v_0(n)
\end{aligned}
\tag{15.18}$$

It can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
v_k(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n -p_1'(j) C_k \left\{ \frac{n}{j} \right\} - v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
P_f'(n) &= v_1(n)
\end{aligned}
\tag{15.18a}$$

where C_k are the Gregory coefficients.

Quite similarly to (11.27a), if we work through the arithmetic, we have

$$P_f'(n) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{2} \right\} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{3} \right\} \right) - \frac{1}{6} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{4} \right\} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{5} \right\} \right) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{6} \right\} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{7} \right\} \right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\left\{ \frac{n}{8} \right\} \right) - \dots
\tag{15.18b}$$

15-F: Recursive Sums of P^* and P_f'

A few more recursive relationships of these functions follow, with C_k the Gregory coefficients from (9.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
v_k(n) &= -C_k P_f'(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
v_0(n) &= \{n\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{15.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_k(n) &= -C_k P^*(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
v_0(n) &= n - 1
\end{aligned}
\tag{15.19a}$$

The connection between (15.20) and (9.10) is perhaps interesting. Additionally,

$$v_k(n) = \frac{P_f'(n)}{k!} + \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v_1(n) = \{n\}$$

(15.20)

and

$$v_k(n) = \frac{P^*(n)}{k!} + \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v_1(n) = n - 1$$

(15.20a)

both of which are closely connected to (11.20).


15-G: The Function M^*

We can also repeat this process for $M_1'(n)$, which is Mertens function minus 1. So, we have

$$M^*(n) = D_1^*(n) - D_2^*(n) + D_3^*(n) - D_4^*(n) + D_5^*(n) - \dots$$

or, equivalently,

$$M^*(n) = n - 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n M^*\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$



A graph of $M^*(n)$ from 0 to 360

M^* has several identities similar to D^* . So

$$H_m'(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\mu(j)}{j} \quad (15.21)$$

and we will find that

$$M^*(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n H_m'(j-1) \quad (15.22)$$

and

$$M^*(n) = M^*(n-1) + H_m'(n-1) \quad (15.23)$$

and

$$M^*(n+1) = 2M^*(n) - M^*(n-1) + \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \quad (15.24)$$

Looking at (15.23) and (15.21), it should, again, be pretty clear that M^* is a relatively smooth function.

15-H: Separating out M^*

$M_1'(n)$ can be expressed as

$$M_1'(n) = [n] - 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n M_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

Mirroring the process that led to (15.1), we can split this up into two parts,

$$w(n) = n - 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n w\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$M^*(n) = w(n)$$

(15.25)

$$v(n) = \{n\} - \sum_{j=2}^n v\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$M_f'(n) = v(n)$$

(15.25a)

$$M_1'(n) = M^*(n) - M_f'(n)$$


$$M(n) = M_1'(n) + 1$$

where $M(n)$ is Mertens function. Once again, M^* is a pretty smooth function, and so it seems very likely that the discontinuities of $M(n)$ must be encoded in the function described by (15.25a). And, at least visually, that does seem to be the case.

If we name the function in (15.25a) $M_f'(n)$, we can rewrite it as

$$M_f'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \mu(j) \left\{ \frac{n}{j} \right\}$$

(15.26)



A graph of $M_f'(n)$ from 0 to 360

15-I: Recursive Sums of M^* and M_f'

These functions also have the following properties

$$v(n) = M_f'(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n \mu(j)v\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v(n) = \{n\}$$

(15.27)

and

$$v(n) = M^*(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n \mu(j)v\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$v(n) = n - 1$$

(15.28)

16. A Few Identities for Chebyshev's Second Function, $\psi(n)$

This section will provide just a few identities for $\psi(n)$, Chebyshev's second function, which is defined as

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \Lambda(j) \quad (16.1)$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is the Mangoldt function, or, in the notation from this paper,

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) \ln j \quad (16.2)$$

We will start with an identity apparently from Mertens, the derivation of which is not clear to me... so this section will be a bit fuzzy.

We start with

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n M\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \ln j \quad (16.3)$$

where $M(n)$ is the Mertens function.

The beginning of Section 9 showed how we can express Mertens function in terms of the $D_k'(n)$ family of functions, and so we can rewrite (16.3) as

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(1 - D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + D_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - D_3'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \dots\right) \ln j \quad (16.4)$$

which, with a bit of arm waving, has the raw material to yield the following recursive formula

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \ln j - \psi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \quad (16.5)$$

The following similar recursive identity also seems to hold

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -\mu(j) (\ln j + \psi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)) \quad (16.5a)$$

And lastly, if we take (16.3) and make use of our identity from (11.16) which expresses the Mertens

function in terms of the strict prime power function, we have

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(1 - P_1' \left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{2} P_2' \left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \frac{1}{6} P_3' \left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{1}{24} P_4' \left(\frac{n}{j}\right) - \dots\right) \ln j$$

(16.6)

This can be rewritten recursively, giving us

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \ln j - \frac{p_1'(j)}{k} v_{k+1} \left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\psi(n) = v_1(n)$$

(16.7)

17. Further Questions

As much work as this paper has covered, there are still plenty of open avenues for further exploration. A few of those will be detailed here.

- Section 8 discusses a method for approximating the D_k' family of functions in some interesting ways that have not been attempted in this paper. This seems intriguing.
- At least visually, the functions in (13.23) look especially interesting, particularly for approximation (particularly because they can be inverted to give an exact expression for $\Pi(n)$ and because they are relatively smooth, especially for higher values of k for $U_k'(n)$). This could warrant further exploration.
- Section 13 outlines a general method of transforming both D_k' and P_k' into other series. Are there other series that are useful to explore? What are the limits of such transformations?
- The Möbius function is, of course, rife with all sorts of interesting combinatorial identities, like the identity of Heath-Brown found in [1] and the Möbius version of Vaughn's identity. Are there similar identities for a non-strict version of the prime-power counting function p_1' , where $p_1'(1)=1$? Could any such identities be useful for fast prime counting or other results connected to primes?
- More generally, this paper has been entirely and solely concerned with strict versions of these functions (so functions that begin counting at 2). Are there any interesting properties when considering non-strict prime power counting functions?
- Equation 11.8, seen here,

$$P_1'(n) + \frac{1}{2}P_2'(n) + \frac{1}{6}P_3'(n) + \frac{1}{24}P_4'(n) + \frac{1}{120}P_5'(n) + \frac{1}{720} \dots = n - 1$$

seems awfully interesting, and yet this paper has little to say about it. Can anything fruitful be done with this line of equations and general area of research?

- Because this paper arose almost entirely from my work on making my prime counting algorithm, some of these results are relatively under explored. Section 14 in particular gestures in large directions that are mostly untouched, at least by me. Are there useful things to be done with these observations?
- This paper contains almost no calculus or analysis, and makes no use of Zeta-Function-related expressions for $\Pi(n)$ and $M(n)$, particularly their so-called explicit formulas. Can anything interesting be said by applying such techniques or ideas to any of the combinatorial identities found in this paper?
- Can anything new or interesting be said about $\psi(n)$ based on analogues to techniques in this paper?
- Equations (11.8), (11.10), and (11.19) all give ways of expressing some very common terms that show up in a wide range of equations in terms of combinations of prime powers. Are there equations for which applying these three identities provide interesting insights?
- And, pretty obviously, finding faster ways to calculate the D_k' functions, or more interesting ways to approximate them generally, or to say things about their error terms, have spill over effects to all the other functions expressed exactly by D_k' functions as detailed in this paper.

18. References

- [1] J. B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, *Opera de Cribro*, 346-347
- [2] Deleglise, Marc and Rivat, Joel, Computing the summation of the Mobius function. *Experiment. Math.* 5 (1996), no. 4, 291-295.
- [3] Ivic, A. A. *The Riemann Zeta-Function: The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function With Applications.* New York: Wiley, 1985, 352

Appendices

Appendix 1: A Collection of Combinatorial Expressions for Mertens Function and $\Pi(n)$

The Mertens Function and the Prime Power counting function are two particularly interesting functions. Here will be collected many of the combinatorial identities for these functions, where D_k' is the strict number of divisors summatory function from (3.1).

$$\Pi(n)$$

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n)$$

or, which is essentially the same,

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{k} - v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_1(n)$$

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n 1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}d_1'(j) + \frac{1}{3}d_2'(j) - \frac{1}{4}d_3'(j) + \dots\right) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{j} \right\rfloor - 1\right)$$

which is

$$\Pi(n) = n - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{6} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{5} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + \frac{1}{6} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{7} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \frac{1}{12} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{8} \right\rfloor - 1\right) - \dots$$

If we have the following series of constants, the Gregory coefficients,

$$C_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{-1}{k-j-1} C_j, \text{ where } C_0 = -1$$

then

$$\Pi(n) = n - 1 - \left(\sum_{a=2}^n C_1 \Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - \left(\sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} C_2 \Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) - \left(\sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} C_3 \Pi\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) - \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} C_4 \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

If we have M_k' as the strict Möbius summatory function, from (10.4), then

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^k}{k} M_k'(n)$$

Or, which is essentially the same,

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -\mu(j) \left(\frac{1}{k} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_1(n)$$

and, again, which is the same, if $M(n)$ is the Mertens Function,

$$\Pi(n) = -M(n) + 1 - \sum_{a=2}^n \mu(a) \left(\frac{1}{2} (-M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + 1) - \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \mu(b) \left(\frac{1}{3} (-M\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + 1) - \dots \right) \right)$$

which is

$$\Pi(n) = -M(n) + 1 - \frac{1}{2}(M\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(M\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - 1) - \frac{1}{3}(M\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(M\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - 1) - \frac{1}{6}(M\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) - 1) - \dots$$

If we have P_k' as the strict prime power summatory function, from (11.5), then

$$\Pi(n) = n - 1 - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{P_k'(n)}{k!}$$

or, which is the same, of course,

$$\Pi(n) = n - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \frac{1}{5} \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

If we have B_k , which are the Bernoulli numbers, and $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, then we have

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n 1 + \frac{B_1}{1!} P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{B_2}{2!} P_2'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{B_4}{4!} P_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \frac{B_6}{6!} P_6'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \dots$$

and

$$v_k(n) = \frac{B_k}{k!} ([n] - 1) + \sum_{j=2}^n P_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

both of which can be rewritten as

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n 1 + \frac{B_1}{1!} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) + \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(\sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{B_2}{2!} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) + \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(\sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(\sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} \frac{B_4}{4!} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{abcd}\right) + \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

and

$$v_k(n) = \frac{B_k}{k!} ([n] - 1) + \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

If $M(n)$ is the Mertens function,

$$v_k(n) = \frac{B_k}{k!} (M(n) - 1) - \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

If we have Q_k' as the strict inverse of prime powers summatory function, from (12.1), then

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k Q_k'(n)$$

and

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -q_1'\left(1 + \Pi\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)\right)$$

and, from (12.5b),

$$\Pi(n) = -Q_1'(n) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{2} Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) - \frac{1}{3} Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) - \frac{1}{2} Q_1'\left(\frac{n}{9}\right) - \dots$$

And from (13.1)

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{W_k'(n)}{k!}$$

And, which is the same thing, from (13.1)

$$v_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n w_1'(j) \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_1'(n)$$

And we also have, with C_k' the Gregory coefficients from above,

$$v_k(n) = -C_k W_1'(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n p_1'(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_0(n)$$

If we have H_p' from (15.10), and $\{n\}$ is the fractional part of n , then

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n H_p'(j-1) + \sum_{j=2}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} p_1'(j) + \frac{1}{12} p_2'(j) - \frac{1}{720} p_4'(j) + \frac{1}{30240} p_6'(j) - \dots\right) \left\{\frac{n}{j}\right\}$$

As per (13.12), if $Y_k'(n)$ is some function in terms of p_k such that, with coefficients a_k ,

$$y_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k p_k'(n); \quad y_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} y_1'(j) y_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad Y_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n y_k'(j)$$

and with inversion coefficients b_k derived from a_k through the formula described in (13.10), then

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k Y_k'(n)$$

and

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n y_1'(j) \left(b_k + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_1(n)$$

Additionally,

$$\Pi(n) = b_1 Y_1'(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n (b_2 y_1'(j) + b_3 y_2'(j) + b_4 y_3'(j) + \dots) Y_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

Mertens Function

$$M(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k D_k'(n)$$

which can be reformulated as

$$v(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n 1 - v\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$M(n) = 1 - v(n)$$

which in turn is the relatively well known

$$M(n) = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^n M\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

The following four statements are essentially restatements of another idea

$$M(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^k P_k'(n)}{k!}$$

$$M(n) = 1 - \Pi(n) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=2}^n \frac{\Lambda(a)}{\ln a} \left(-\Pi\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \frac{\Lambda(b)}{\ln b} \left(-\Pi\left(\frac{n}{ab}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{\Lambda(c)}{\ln c} \left(-\Pi\left(\frac{n}{abc}\right) + \frac{1}{5} \dots \right) \right) \right)$$

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -p_1'(j) \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$M(n) = v_1(n) + 1$$

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n -\frac{\Lambda(j)}{\ln j} \left(\frac{1}{k!} + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \right)$$

$$M(n) = v_1(n) + 1$$

If C_k are the Gregory coefficients from (9.10), then

$$v_k(n) = C_k \Pi(n) - \sum_{j=2}^n \mu(j) v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$M(n) = v_0(n) + 1$$

and, which is essentially the same identity, from (11.30b)

$$M(n) - 1 = -\Pi(n) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{12} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - \frac{1}{3} \Pi\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + \dots$$

If we have H_m' from (15.21), and $\{n\}$ is the fractional part of n , then

$$M(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n H_m'(j-1) + \sum_{j=2}^n \mu(j) \left\{ \frac{n}{j} \right\}$$

As per (13.12), if $Y_k'(n)$ is some function in terms of m_k such that, with coefficients a_k ,

$$y_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k m_k'(n); \quad y_k'(n) = \sum_{j|n; 1 < j < n} y_1'(j) y_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right); \quad Y_k'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n y_k'(j)$$

and with inversion coefficients b_k derived from a_k through the formula described in (13.10), then

$$M(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k Y_k'(n)$$

and

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n y_1'(j) (b_k + v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right))$$

$$M(n) = v_1(n) + 1$$

Additionally,

$$M(n) = 1 + b_1 Y_1'(n) + \sum_{j=2}^n (b_2 y_1'(j) + b_3 y_2'(j) + b_4 y_3'(j) + \dots) Y_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

Appendix 2: Expressions in Terms of the Strict Number of Divisors Summatory Functions

Because they are scattered through out this paper, I'm going to collect here all of the different identities referenced throughout this paper that can be expressed as linear combinations of D_k' (3.1), both for computation and approximation. Once again, if you can calculate the family of D_k' values then you can calculate any and all of these values in $O(\ln n)$ time.

Here $M_k'(n)$ is the strict Möbius summatory function, from (10.4), $P_k'(n)$ is the strict prime power summatory function, from (11.5), and $Q_k'(n)$ is the strict inverse prime power summatory function from (12.2).

Although for most of this paper $P_1'(n)=\Pi(n)$, the prime power counting function, and $m_1'(n)=\mu(n)$, the Möbius function, see section 14 for a considerable increase in the generality of these expressions and ideas.

$$P_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n)$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^n d_1'(j) P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_{k+1}'(n)$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^n d_2'(j) P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_{k+2}'(n)$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^n d_a'(j) P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_{k+a}'(n)$$

$$M_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k D_k'(n)$$

$$M_2'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n -1^j j D_{2+j}'(n)$$

$$M_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^n -1^{k+j} \binom{k+j-1}{k-1} D_{k+j}'(n)$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_1'(j) P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = -D_2'(n) + \frac{3}{2} D_3'(n) - \frac{11}{6} D_4'(n) + \frac{25}{12} D_5'(n) - \dots$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_2'(j) P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = D_3'(n) - \frac{5}{2} D_4'(n) + \frac{13}{3} D_5'(n) - \frac{77}{12} D_6'(n) - \dots$$

If we have the constant

$$c(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b -1^{a+j+1} \frac{1}{j} \binom{a+b-1}{b-j}$$

then

$$\sum_{j=2}^n m_a'(j) P_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^{k+1} c(a, k) D_{a+k}'(n)$$

$$P_1'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{-1^{k+1}}{k} D_k'(n)$$

$$P_2'(n) = D_2'(n) - D_3'(n) + \frac{11}{12} D_4'(n) - \frac{5}{6} D_5'(n) + \frac{137}{180} D_6'(n) - \dots$$

$$P_3'(n) = D_3'(n) - \frac{3}{2} D_4'(n) + \frac{7}{4} D_5'(n) - \frac{15}{8} D_6'(n) + \frac{469}{240} D_7'(n) - \dots$$

And if we have the constants

$$c(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b c(1, j) \cdot c(a-1, b-j+1), \text{ where } c(1, b) = \frac{1}{b}$$

then

$$P_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^{k+1} c(a, k) D_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

And if we have the constants

$$cp(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b cp(1, j) \cdot cp(a-1, b-j+1) \text{ where } cp(1, b) = \frac{1}{b}$$

and

$$cq(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b cq(1, j) \cdot cq(a-1, b-j+1) \text{ where } cq(1, b) = \sum_{j=1}^b cp(j, b-j+1)$$

then

$$Q_a'(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n -1^k cq(a, k) D_{a+k-1}'(n)$$

Appendix 3: Core D' Identity Derivation

We want to show here the core combinatorial identity used for evaluating $D_k'(n)$ in this paper.

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_k'(n) = & \\
 & \sum_{j=a+1}^n D_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=2}^a d_{k-1}'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 & + \sum_{j=2}^a \sum_{s=\frac{a}{j}+1}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} d_m'(j) D_{k-m-1}'\left(\frac{n}{js}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Rather than derive this process generally, we're just going to show how to derive it for a single value here, and let that point to how the process of deriving such identities works.

For the sake of explanation, we will define

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1(n) &= \sum_{j=a+1}^n D_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 S_2(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^a d_{k-1}'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
 S_3(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^a \sum_{s=\frac{a}{j}+1}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} d_m'(j) D_{k-m-1}'\left(\frac{n}{js}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

(a3.1)

and thus

$$D_k'(n) = S_1(n) + S_2(n) + S_3(n)$$

We will work through this for $D_5'(n)$. We begin with the most basic property of $D_k'(n)$,

$$D_5'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

Our entire goal in using the process is to only calculate values of $D_k'(n) \leq \frac{n}{a}$. We will operate, during this derivation, as though such values can be looked up instantly, which the sieving takes care of for the main algorithm. So, obviously if we split this formula into two pieces,

$$D_5'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^a D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + \sum_{j=a+1}^n D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

(a3.2)

The second sum is our $S_1'(n)$, and so we have now

$$D_5'(n) = \sum_{j=2}^a D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) + S_1(n)$$

(a3.3)

Looking at our remaining sum, we know that we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^a D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \\ & \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{3}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{3j}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{4}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{4j}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{5}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{5j}\right) \dots + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{aj}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(a3.4)

Our entire goal in this process is to avoid calculating any values of $D_k'(n) > \frac{n}{a}$. Thus, we can take each of these sums and split them in a fashion similar to (a3.2). And so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^a D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) = \\ & \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2a}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{2a}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{3a}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{3j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{3a}+1}^{\frac{n}{3}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{3j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{4a}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{4j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{4a}+1}^{\frac{n}{4}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{4j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{5a}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{5j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{5a}+1}^{\frac{n}{5}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{5j}\right) \\ & + \dots \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{aa}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{aj}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{aa}+1}^{\frac{n}{a}} D_3'\left(\frac{n}{aj}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(a3.5)

Each pair is split up between values of $D_k'(j)$ where $j > \frac{n}{a}$ on the left and the rest on the right (which,

(a3.17)

We're nearly done with this laborious process. Looking at (a3.17) and remembering our goal of never calculating a value of $D_k'(j)$ where $j > \frac{n}{a}$, we're left to calculate the remaining sum, (a3.15). As before, we can rewrite (a3.15) as

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{3}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{3j}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{4}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{4j}\right) + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{5}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{5j}\right) \dots + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{aj}\right)$$

(a3.18)

Following the pattern established in (a3.5), we can split these sums into

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{2a}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{2a}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{2j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{3a}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{3j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{3a}+1}^{\frac{n}{3}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{3j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{4a}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{4j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{4a}+1}^{\frac{n}{4}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{4j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{5a}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{5j}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{5a}+1}^{\frac{n}{5}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{5j}\right) \\ & + \dots \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{n}{aa}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{aj}\right) + \sum_{j=\frac{n}{aa}+1}^{\frac{n}{a}} d_3'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{aj}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(a3.19)

Once again, these right hand expressions are all of the form $D_k'(j)$ for $j \leq \frac{n}{a}$, and so we can assume instant computation for them, and we can collect them as

This in turns lets us rewrite(a3.17) as

$$D_5'(n) = S_2(n) + S_{3c}(n) + S_{3b}(n) + S_{3a}(n) + S_1(n)$$

(a3.25)

It should be clear from inspection of (a3.1), (a3.6), a(3.12), and (a3.20), that

$$S_3(n) = S_{3c}(n) + S_{3b}(n) + S_{3a}(n)$$

and so we finally arrive at our desired expression for $D_5'(n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_5'(n) = & \\ & \sum_{j=a+1}^n D_4'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^a d_4'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^a \sum_{s=\frac{a}{j}+1}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{m=1}^3 d_m'(j) D_{4-m}'\left(\frac{n}{js}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(a3.26)

This process obviously can be generalized, and thus we end at our desired expression,

$$\begin{aligned} D_k'(n) = & \\ & \sum_{j=a+1}^n D_{k-1}'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^a d_{k-1}'(j) D_1'\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\ & + \sum_{j=2}^a \sum_{s=\frac{a}{j}+1}^{\frac{n}{j}} \sum_{m=1}^{k-2} d_m'(j) D_{k-m-1}'\left(\frac{n}{js}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Appendix 4: Other Derivations

Deriving the First Recursive Formula for $\Pi(n)$

We want to show that we can express $\Pi(n)$ as

$$v_k(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{k} - v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right)$$

$$\Pi(n) = v_1(n)$$

We begin by noting that our various strict number of divisors summatory functions can be written as follows

$$D_1'(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n 1$$

$$D_2'(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} 1$$

$$D_3'(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} 1$$

$$D_4'(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} 1$$

and so on. Consequently, by Linnik's identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(n) &= \sum_{a=2}^n 1 \\
&+ \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} -\frac{1}{2} \\
&+ \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \frac{1}{3} \\
&+ \sum_{a=2}^n \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} -\frac{1}{4} \\
&+ \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Looking at these sums, it should be clear that they can be re-arranged like so

$$\Pi(n) = \sum_{a=2}^n \left(1 - \sum_{b=2}^{\frac{n}{a}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \sum_{c=2}^{\frac{n}{ab}} \left(\frac{1}{3} - \sum_{d=2}^{\frac{n}{abc}} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \dots \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

and it's just a small jump from here to extract the recursive relationship out from the middle of this equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
v_k(n) &= \sum_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{k} - v_{k+1}\left(\frac{n}{j}\right) \\
\Pi(n) &= v_1(n)
\end{aligned}$$

This process is the core of all the other recursive relationships that show up in this paper.

Deriving another formula for $D_k'(n)$

We want to show that we can calculate $D_k'(n)$ using the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k'(n, a) &= \sum_{m=a}^{\frac{n}{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} D_j'\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{m^{k-j}} \right\rfloor, m+1\right) \\
D_1'(n, a) &= n - a + 1 \quad D_0'(n, a) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_k'(n) = D_k'(n, 2)$. Although not rigorous, the following description will walk through the general process of deriving this identity. We begin with the combinatorial identity connecting the strict and non-strict number of divisor summatory functions

$$D_k'(n) = \sum_{j=0}^k -1^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} D_j(n)$$

First, let's stop using the terminology strict and non-strict, and instead add a second parameter to $D_k'(n)$ in this context to make more explicit the smallest values that will be considered in these functions. So we have

$$D_k'(n, 2) = \sum_{j=0}^k -1^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} D_j'(n, 1)$$

It turns out that this expression can be inverted, in which case we have

$$D_k'(n, 1) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} D_j'\left(\frac{n}{2^{k-j}}, 2\right)$$

This expression in turn is an example of a more general identity, which is

$$D_k'(n, a-1) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} D_j'\left(\frac{n}{a^{k-j}}, a\right)$$

One other handy fact that should be obvious after a moments thought is that, if $a^k > n$, then $D_k'(n, a) = 0$. Looking at this equation, we can say generally that

$$D_k'(n, a) = D_k'(n, a+1) + \text{smaller values of } k \text{ for } D_k'(n, a+1)$$

Obviously, we can replace the leading term $D_k'(n, a+1)$ with

$$D_k'(n, a+1) = D_k'(n, a+2) + \text{smaller values of } k \text{ for } D_k'(n, a+2)$$

and we can continue this process until we hit our end condition, when $a^k > n$ and thus $D_k'(n, a) = 0$.

This process yields

$$D_k'(n, a) = \sum_{m=a}^{\frac{1}{n^k}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} D_j'\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{m^{k-j}} \right\rfloor, m+1\right)$$

as desired.

Appendix 5: C Source Code for the Prime Counting Algorithm

This is a C implementation of the algorithm described in this paper. Owing to precision issues, it actually stops returning valid values at relatively low values, say around 10^{11} , an eminently fixable problem. This code can be sped up quite a bit, at least in constant terms, by implementing a wheel. There are almost certainly other bits and pieces of this code (particularly in the functions d1 and d2) that can be sped up quite a bit as well, in constant terms.

```

#include "stdio.h"
#include "stdlib.h"
#include "math.h"
#include "conio.h"
#include "time.h"

typedef long long BigInt;

static BigInt mu[] = { 0, 1, -1, -1, 0, -1, 1, -1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, -1, 1, 1, 0, -1, 0, -1, 0,
1, 1, -1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, -1, -1, -1,
    0, 1, 1, 1, 0, -1, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, -1,
0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1, -1, -1, 0, 1, -1,
    -1, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1, -1, -1, 0, 0 };

static BigInt* binomials;          /* This is used as a doubly subscripted array, 128x128.
Indexing is done manually.*/
static BigInt nToTheThird;
static BigInt logn;

static BigInt numPrimes;
static BigInt* primes;

static BigInt* factorsMultiplied;
static BigInt* totalFactors;
static BigInt* factors;          /* This is used as a doubly subscripted array, n^1/3 x
ln n. Indexing is done manually.*/
static BigInt* numPrimeBases;

static BigInt* DPrime;          /* This is used as a doubly subscripted array, n^1/3 x
ln n. Indexing is done manually.*/

static BigInt curBlockBase;

static double t;

static BigInt nToTheHalf;
static BigInt numDPowers;
static double* dPrime;

static BigInt S1Val;
static BigInt S1Mode;
static BigInt* S3Vals;
static BigInt* S3Modes;

```

```

static bool ended;
static BigInt maxSieveValue;

static BigInt ceilval;

static BigInt n;

BigInt binomial( double n, int k ){
    double t = 1;
    for( int i = 1; i <= k; i++ ){
        t *= ( n - ( k - i ) ) / i;
    }
    return BigInt( t + .1 );
}

static BigInt invpow(double n, double k) {
    return (BigInt)(pow(n, 1.0 / k) + .0000001);
}

/* See http://www.icecreambreakfast.com/primecount/primecounting.html#ch5 for a description of
calculating d_k'(n) from a complete factorization of a number n.*/
static BigInt d1(BigInt* a, BigInt o, BigInt k, BigInt l){
    BigInt t = 1;
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < l; j++) t *= binomials[(a[o*logn+ j] - 1 + k)*128 + a[o*logn+ j]];
    return t;
}

/* See http://www.icecreambreakfast.com/primecount/primecounting.html#ch5 for a description of
calculating d_k'(n) from a complete factorization of a number n.*/
static BigInt d2(BigInt* a, BigInt o, BigInt k, BigInt l, BigInt numfacts ){
    if (numfacts < k) return 0;
    BigInt t = 0;
    for (BigInt j = 1; j <= k; j++) t += ( ( k - j ) % 2 == 1 ? -1:1 ) * binomials[k * 128 + j]
* d1(a, o, j, l);
    if( t < 0 ){
        int asdf = 9;
    }
    return (BigInt)t;
}

static void allocPools( BigInt n ){
    nToTheThird = (BigInt)pow(n, 1.0 / 3);

    logn = (BigInt)(log(pow(n, 2.00001 / 3)) / log(2.0)) + 1;
    factorsMultiplied = new BigInt[nToTheThird];
    totalFactors = new BigInt[nToTheThird];
    factors = new BigInt[nToTheThird * logn];
    numPrimeBases = new BigInt[nToTheThird];
    DPrime = new BigInt[(nToTheThird + 1) * logn];
    binomials = new BigInt[128*128+ 128];
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < 128; j++) for (BigInt k = 0; k <= j; k++)binomials[j * 128 + k] =
binomial(j, k);
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < logn; j++) DPrime[j] = 0;
    curBlockBase = 0;

    t = n - 1;

    nToTheHalf = (BigInt)pow(n, 1.0 / 2);
}

```

```

numDPowers = (BigInt)(log(pow(n, 2.00001 / 3)) / log(2.0)) + 1;
dPrime = new double[(nToTheThird + 1) * (numDPowers + 1)];

S1Val = 1;
S1Mode = 0;
S3Vals = new BigInt[nToTheThird + 1];
S3Modes = new BigInt[nToTheThird + 1];

ended = false;
maxSieveValue = (BigInt)(pow(n, 2.00001 / 3));

for (BigInt j = 2; j < nToTheThird + 1; j++){
    S3Modes[j] = 0;
    S3Vals[j] = 1;
}
}

static void deallocPools(){
    delete factorsMultiplied;
    delete totalFactors;
    delete factors;
    delete numPrimeBases;
    delete DPrime;
    delete binomials;
    delete dPrime;
    delete S3Vals;
    delete S3Modes;
    delete primes;
}

/* This finds all the primes less than n^1/3, which will be used for sieving and generating
complete factorizations of numbers up to n^2/3*/
static void fillPrimes(){
    BigInt* primesieve = new BigInt[nToTheThird + 1];
    primes = new BigInt[nToTheThird + 1];
    numPrimes = 0;
    for (BigInt j = 0; j <= nToTheThird; j++) primesieve[j] = 1;
    for (BigInt k = 2; k <= nToTheThird; k++){
        BigInt cur = k;
        if (primesieve[k] == 1){
            primes[numPrimes] = k;
            numPrimes++;
            while (cur <= nToTheThird){
                primesieve[cur] = 0;
                cur += k;
            }
        }
    }
    delete primesieve;
}

/* This resets some state used for the sieving and factoring process.*/
static void clearPools(){
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < nToTheThird; j++){
        numPrimeBases[j] = -1;
        factorsMultiplied[j] = 1;
        totalFactors[j] = 0;
    }
}

```

```

}

/* We can use sieving on our current n^1/3 sized block of numbers to
get their complete prime factorization signatures, with which we can then
quickly compute d_k' values.*/
static void factorRange(){
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < numPrimes; j++){
        // mark everything divided by each prime, adding a new entry.
        BigInt curPrime = primes[j];
        if (curPrime * curPrime > curBlockBase + nToTheThird) break;
        BigInt curEntry = ( curBlockBase % curPrime == 0 ) ? 0:curPrime - (curBlockBase %
curPrime);
        while (curEntry < nToTheThird){
            if( curEntry+curBlockBase != 0 ){
                factorsMultiplied[curEntry] *= curPrime;
                totalFactors[curEntry]++;
                numPrimeBases[curEntry]++;
                factors[curEntry*logn+ numPrimeBases[curEntry]] = 1;
            }
            curEntry += curPrime;
        }
        // mark everything divided by each prime power
        BigInt cap = (BigInt)( log((double)(nToTheThird+curBlockBase)) / log((double)curPrime)
+ 1 );
        BigInt curbase = curPrime;
        for (BigInt k = 2; k < cap; k++){
            curPrime *= curbase;
            curEntry = (curBlockBase % curPrime == 0) ? 0 : curPrime - (curBlockBase %
curPrime);
            while (curEntry < nToTheThird){
                factorsMultiplied[curEntry] *= curbase;
                totalFactors[curEntry]++;
                if (curEntry + curBlockBase != 0)factors[curEntry*logn+
numPrimeBases[curEntry]] = k;
                curEntry += curPrime;
            }
        }
    }
    // account for prime factors > n^1/3
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < nToTheThird; j++){
        if (factorsMultiplied[j] < j+curBlockBase){
            numPrimeBases[j]++;
            totalFactors[j]++;
            factors[j*logn+ numPrimeBases[j]] = 1;
        }
    }
}

/* By this point, we have already factored, through sieving, all the numbers in the current
n^1/3 sized block we are looking at.
With a complete factorization, we can calculate d_k'(n) for a number.
Then, D_k'(n) = d_k'(n) + D_k'(n-1).*/
static void buildDivisorSums(){
    for (BigInt j = 1; j < nToTheThird+1; j++){
        if (j + curBlockBase == 1 || j + curBlockBase == 2) continue;
        for (BigInt k = 0; k < logn; k++){
            DPrime[j * logn + k] = DPrime[(j - 1) * logn + k] + d2(factors, j - 1, k,
numPrimeBases[j - 1] + 1, totalFactors[j - 1]);

```

```

    }
  }
  for (BigInt j = 0; j < logn; j++) DPrime[j] = DPrime[nToTheThird*logn+ j];
}

/* This general algorithm relies on values of D_k' <= n^2/3 and d_k' <= n^1/3. This function
calculates those values of d_k'./
static void find_dVals(){
  curBlockBase = 1;
  clearPools();
  factorRange();
  buildDivisorSums();

  for (BigInt j = 2; j <= nToTheThird; j++){
    for (BigInt m = 1; m < numDPowers; m++){
      double s = 0;
      for (BigInt r = 1; r < numDPowers; r++) s += pow(-1.0, (double)( r + m )) * (1.0 /
(r + m + 1)) * (DPrime[j * logn + r] - DPrime[(j - 1) * logn + r]);
      dPrime[j*(numDPowers + 1)+ m] = s;
    }
  }
}

static void resetDPrimeVals(){
  curBlockBase = 0;
  for (BigInt k = 0; k < nToTheThird + 1; k++)
    for (BigInt j = 0; j < logn; j++)
      DPrime[k * logn + j] = 0;
}

/* This function is calculating the first two sums of
http://www.icecreambreakfast.com/primecount/primecounting.html#4\_4
It is written to rely on values of D_k' from smallest to greatest, to use the segmented
sieve./
static void calcS1(){
  if (S1Mode == 0){
    while (S1Val <= ceilval){
      BigInt cnt = (n / S1Val - n / (S1Val + 1));
      for (BigInt m = 1; m < numDPowers; m++) t += cnt * (m % 2 == 1 ? -1 : 1) * (1.0 /
(m + 1)) * DPrime[(S1Val - curBlockBase + 1) * logn + m];
      S1Val++;
      if (S1Val >= n / nToTheHalf){
        S1Mode = 1;
        S1Val = nToTheHalf;
        break;
      }
    }
  }
  if (S1Mode == 1){
    while (n / S1Val <= ceilval){
      for (BigInt m = 1; m < numDPowers; m++) t += (m % 2 == 1 ? -1 : 1) * (1.0 / (m +
1)) * DPrime[(n / S1Val - curBlockBase + 1) * logn + m];
      S1Val--;
      if (S1Val < nToTheThird + 1){
        S1Mode = 2;
        break;
      }
    }
  }
}

```

```

    }
}

/* This loop is calculating the 3rd term that runs from 2 to n^1/3 in
http://www.icecreambreakfast.com/primecount/primecounting.html#4\_4*/
static void calcS2(){
    for (BigInt j = 2; j <= nToTheThird; j++){
        for (BigInt k = 1; k < numDPowers; k++){
            t += (n / j - 1) * pow(-1.0, (double)k) * (1.0 / (k + 1)) * (DPrime[j * logn + k] -
DPrime[(j - 1) * logn + k]);
        }
    }

/* This loop is calculating the two double sums in
http://www.icecreambreakfast.com/primecount/primecounting.html#4\_4
It is written to rely on values of D_k' from smallest to greatest, to use the segmented
sieve.*/
static void calcS3(){
    for (BigInt j = 2; j <= nToTheThird; j++){
        if (S3Modes[j] == 0){
            BigInt endsq = (BigInt)(pow(n / j, .5));
            BigInt endVal = (n / j) / endsq;
            while (S3Vals[j] <= ceilval){
                BigInt cnt = (n / (j * S3Vals[j]) - n / (j * (S3Vals[j] + 1)));
                for (BigInt m = 1; m < numDPowers; m++) t += cnt * DPrime[(S3Vals[j] -
curBlockBase + 1) * logn + m] * dPrime[j*(numDPowers + 1)+ m];
                S3Vals[j]++;
                if (S3Vals[j] >= endVal){
                    S3Modes[j] = 1;
                    S3Vals[j] = endsq;
                    break;
                }
            }
        }
        if (S3Modes[j] == 1){
            while (n / (j * S3Vals[j]) <= ceilval){
                for (BigInt m = 1; m < numDPowers; m++) t += DPrime[(n / (j * S3Vals[j]) -
curBlockBase + 1) * logn + m] * dPrime[j * (numDPowers + 1) + m];
                S3Vals[j]--;
                if (S3Vals[j] < nToTheThird / j + 1){
                    S3Modes[j] = 2;
                    break;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}

/* This is the most important function here. How it works:
* first we allocate our n^1/3 ln n sized pools and other variables.
* Then we go ahead and sieve to get our primes up to n^1/3
* We also calculate, through one pass of sieving, values of d_k'(n) up to n^1/3
* Then we go ahead and calculate the loop S2 (check the description of the algorithm),
which only requires
* values of d_k'(n) up to n^1/3, which we already have.
* Now we're ready for the main loop.
* We do the following roughly n^1/3 times.
* First we clear our sieving variables.
* Then we factor, entirely, all of the numbers in the current block sized n^1/3 that

```

```

we're looking at.
*   Using our factorization information, we calculate the values for d_k'(n) for the entire
range we're looking,
*   and then sum those together to have a rolling set of D_k'(n) values
*   Now we have values for D_k'(n) for this block sized n^1/3
*   First we see if any of the values of S1 that we need to compute are in this block. We
can do this by
*   (see the paper) walking through the two S1 loops backwards, which will use the D_k'(n)
values in order from smallest to greatest
*   We then do the same thing will all of the S3 values
*   Once we have completed this loop, we will have calculated the prime power function for
n.
*
*   This loop is essentially calculating
*   http://www.icecreambreakfast.com/primecount/primecounting.html#4\_4
*/

```

```

static double calcPrimePowerCount(BigInt nVal){
    n = nVal;
    allocPools(n);
    fillPrimes();
    find_dVals();
    calcS2();
    resetDPrimeVals();

    for (curBlockBase = 0; curBlockBase <= maxSieveValue; curBlockBase += nToTheThird ){
        clearPools();
        factorRange();
        buildDivisorSums();

        ceilval = curBlockBase + nToTheThird - 1;
        if (ceilval > maxSieveValue) {
            ceilval = maxSieveValue;
            ended = true;
        }

        calcS1();
        calcS3();
        if (ended) break;
    }

    deallocPools();

    return t;
}

static BigInt countprimes(BigInt num) {
    double total = 0.0;
    for (BigInt i = 1; i < log((double)num) / log(2.0); i++) {
        double val = calcPrimePowerCount( invpow(num, i)) / (double)i * mu[i];
        total += val;
    }
    return total+.1;
}

int scaleNum = 10;
int main(int argc, char* argv[]){
    int oldClock = (int)clock();

```



```

int lastDif = 0;

printf( "                                     Time\n");
printf( "                                     Increase\n");
printf( "                                     for x%d\n",
scaleNum);
printf( "          __ Input Number __  __ Output Number __ _ MSec _ _ Sec _  Input\n");
printf( "          \n");
for( BigInt i = scaleNum; i <= 10000000000000000; i *= scaleNum ){
    printf( "%17I64d(10^%4.1f): ", i, log( (double)i )/log(10.0) );
    BigInt total = (BigInt)(countprimes( i )+.00001);
    int newClock = (int)clock();
    printf( " %20I64d %8d : %4d: x%f\n",
        total, newClock - oldClock, ( newClock - oldClock ) / CLK_TCK,
        ( lastDif ) ? (double)( newClock - oldClock ) / (double)lastDif : 0.0 );
    lastDif = newClock - oldClock;
    oldClock = newClock;
}

getch();

return 0;
}

```

Appendix 6: Index of Symbols

Standard Functions

$\pi(n)$	The prime counting function
$\Pi(n)$	The prime power counting function
$\mu(n)$	The Möbius function
$M(n)$	The Mertens function
$\Lambda(n)$	The Mangoldt function
$\psi(n)$	The second Chebyshev function
$d_k(n)$	The number of divisors function
$\lfloor n \rfloor$	The floor function
$\{n\}$	The fractional part of n
B_k	The Bernoulli numbers
C_k	The Gregory coefficients

Functions from this Paper

$d_k'(n)$	The strict number of divisors function (1.2)
$D_k'(n)$	The strict number of divisors summatory function (2.1)
$m_k'(n)$	The strict Möbius function (10.1)
$M_k'(n)$	The strict Möbius summatory function (10.3)
$p_k'(n)$	The strict number of prime powers function (11.2)
$P_k'(n)$	The strict number of prime powers summatory function (11.3)
$q_k'(n)$	The strict prime power inverse function (12.1)
$Q_k'(n)$	The strict prime power inverse summatory function (12.2)
$P^*(n)$	The smoothed prime power summatory function (15.14)
$P_f'(n)$	The fractional prime power summatory function (15.14a)
$M^*(n)$	The smoothed Mertens function (15.25)
$M_f'(n)$	The fractional Mertens function (15.25a)